



Vol. 2 No. 5 (December) (2024)

Hannah Arendt's Concept of the Right to have Rights and its Implications for Refugees in Haripur

Salahud Din (Corresponding Author)

BS University of Peshawar. Email: salahuddinop2020@gmail.com

Muhammad Faran

Mphil Scholar Gomal University D I Khan. Email: farankhan308@gmail.com

Muhammad Salman

BS University of Peshawar. Email: salmanmuhammadaddir@gmail.com

Nisar Ahmad

M.Phil Development Program University of Baltistan Skardu.

Email: nisarahmaddk80@gmail.com

Taqweem Ul Haq

BS University of Peshawar. Email: taqweemulhaq110@gmail.com

Abstract

Hannah Arendt's concept of the "right to have rights" highlights the critical link between human rights and political belonging, especially for marginalized groups like refugees. This study examines the lived experiences of refugees in Haripur, focusing on the denial of legal recognition and citizenship, which restricts access to basic services, education, healthcare, and employment. The loss of political agency and identity exacerbates their vulnerability, while reliance on humanitarian aid underscores the need for self-reliance and long-term solutions. Social and cultural integration efforts, driven by local and international organizations, are essential for bridging gaps and ensuring refugees' access to rights, dignity, and opportunities. The study advocates for empowering refugees to build a more equitable future.

Key Words: Right to Have Rights, Refugees, Legal Recognition, Integration.

Introduction

In the realm of political philosophy, Hannah Arendt's concept of the "right to have rights" holds profound significance. Born in 1906, Hannah Arendt was a German-American philosopher and political theorist, widely renowned for her insightful works on totalitarianism, the nature of power, and human rights. One of her seminal ideas, the "right to have rights," illuminates the fundamental link between human rights and the essence of human existence.

This research explores Hannah Arendt's concept of the "right to have rights" and its implications for refugees in Haripur, a region that has witnessed a significant influx of displaced individuals due to various socio-political and environmental crises. Arendt's ideas are particularly relevant in the context of refugees, who are often among the most vulnerable and marginalized groups, facing a lack of protection, agency, and recognition in their host countries.

Central to Arendt's philosophy is the belief that human rights are not inherent to



Vol. 2 No. 5 (December) (2024)

the individual but are contingent upon membership in a political community. In other words, the existence of human rights depends on the acknowledgment and protection provided by the state or society in which one resides. This view challenges the traditional notion of human rights as universally and inherently granted to all human beings.

The notion of the "right to have rights" emerged from Arendt's observations of statelessness and the plight of refugees during and after World War II. The experience of statelessness highlighted the precarious position of individuals stripped of their national identity and the rights that come with it. Arendt argued that the right to belong to a political community is the foundation of all human rights, as it grants individuals legal and moral standing within society.

In the context of refugees in Haripur, Arendt's concept sheds light on the challenges and vulnerabilities they face. As individuals fleeing persecution, violence, or environmental disasters, refugees often find themselves in a state of limbo, deprived of the legal and political structures that can guarantee their rights. Without the right to belong to a political community, refugees risk being reduced to mere existence, lacking protection and access to basic rights such as education, healthcare, and employment.

This research aims to explore the implications of Arendt's concept for refugees in Haripur and how it impacts their lives, agency, and overall well-being. It will analyze the role of the international community and host countries in recognizing and upholding the "right to have rights" for refugees. Furthermore, the study will examine potential policy and legal measures to safeguard the rights of refugees in Haripur.

Rationale of the Study

Hannah Arendt, a renowned political theorist, emphasized the significance of the "right to have rights" as a foundational principle in securing human rights. She argued that the essence of being human is intrinsically linked to one's ability to fully participate in political life, which includes enjoying the protection of legal rights and being recognized as a member of a political community.

This concept is particularly relevant to refugees, who often find themselves in a state of statelessness, devoid of any legal or political status. Haripur has a significant refugee population, hosting thousands of individuals fleeing persecution from neighboring countries such as Afghanistan and Uzbekistan. These refugees face numerous challenges, including restricted access to education, healthcare, employment, and legal protection. By examining the implications of Arendt's concept of the "right to have rights," this research seeks to contribute to the discourse on refugee rights and propose potential solutions to enhance the protection and inclusion of refugees in Haripur.

Literature Review

Hannah Arendt's concept of the "Right to Have Rights" is a cornerstone in understanding human rights, statelessness, and refugee crises. Introduced in *The Origins of Totalitarianism* and her essay "The Rights of Man: What Are They?", Arendt critiques the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) for failing to account for the political realities that undergird human rights. She argues that the realization of human rights is inextricably linked to membership within a



Vol. 2 No. 5 (December) (2024)

political community, such as a nation-state, as rights are most effectively safeguarded by sovereign institutions. Arendt underscores the paradox of the UDHR, which proclaims universal and inalienable rights but cannot ensure them for stateless individuals, thus exposing the vulnerabilities of those outside the nation-state framework.

Building on Arendt's foundational work, Seyla Benhabib emphasizes the tensions between universal human rights and the sovereignty of nation-states in her book *The Rights of Others: Aliens, Residents, and Citizens*. Benhabib explores how democratic principles and human rights obligations often conflict, especially in managing refugees and migrants. She advocates for cosmopolitan norms that transcend national borders, emphasizing the moral imperative to protect stateless and displaced populations. Similarly, Giorgio Agamben's notion of "bare life" in *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life* aligns with Arendt's critiques. Agamben highlights how refugees are often reduced to their biological existence, stripped of political and legal identity, which leaves them vulnerable to exclusion and exploitation.

Applying these theoretical frameworks to the situation in Haripur, Pakistan, the plight of Afghan refugees illustrates Arendt's and Agamben's insights into statelessness and exclusion. Displaced by decades of conflict, many Afghan refugees in Haripur lack legal status, access to basic services, and political representation. Reports from 2023 reveal that nearly 1.5 million displaced individuals reside in northwest Pakistan, including Haripur, often under precarious conditions. The absence of political membership exacerbates their vulnerability, as Arendt predicted, leaving them in a state of rightlessness.

Research by UNHCR and other organizations further underscores these challenges. Despite the 1951 Refugee Convention and Pakistan's temporary measures, such as registration centers and refugee camps, systemic issues persist. Refugees frequently lack formal identification, preventing them from accessing healthcare, education, and employment. A study by Ashraf and Malik (2022) on displaced populations in Pakistan highlights that host governments often face resource constraints, leading to inadequate responses to large-scale displacements. This aligns with Arendt's critique of international frameworks, which, while normative, lack mechanisms for effective implementation.

The socio-political tensions between refugees and host communities also reflect broader global dynamics. Research by Betts and Collier in *Refuge: Transforming a Broken Refugee System* (2017) emphasizes the need for innovative approaches to refugee inclusion, such as economic integration and skill development. Host communities in Haripur often perceive refugees as competitors for limited resources, fueling xenophobia and discrimination. Arendt's emphasis on fostering inclusivity aligns with contemporary solutions proposed by scholars and organizations advocating for durable solutions like naturalization, resettlement, and participation in local governance.

In conclusion, Arendt's "Right to Have Rights" remains profoundly relevant in addressing modern refugee crises, including the challenges faced by Afghan refugees in Haripur. Insights from Benhabib, Agamben, and other scholars further contextualize the interplay between human rights, sovereignty, and statelessness. Addressing these issues requires robust collaboration among governments, international organizations, and host communities. Policy



Vol. 2 No. 5 (December) (2024)

frameworks must prioritize inclusion, empower refugees through education and legal recognition, and foster social cohesion to uphold the dignity and rights of displaced populations.

Research Methodology

This qualitative study explores Hannah Arendt's concept of the "Right to Have Rights" and its application to address challenges faced by refugees in the Haripur camp, focusing on their economic, social, psychological, and political conditions, as well as gender relations.

Research Question

The study aims to examine how Arendt's concept informs understanding and responses to refugee challenges and its practical application to enhance protection, legal status, social integration, and well-being.

Universe of the Study

Haripur's refugee camp in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa was chosen for its accessibility and relevance, with its diverse demographic and sociocultural significance adding depth to the research. **Sampling Technique and Sample Size:**

Convenient sampling was employed due to the researcher's familiarity with respondents, facilitating access to the 20 participants interviewed, with flexibility for data saturation.

Data Analysis

Using Arendt's framework, the legal and social dynamics of refugees were analyzed to uncover insights into their conditions.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical practices included informed consent, cultural sensitivity through female interviewers, respect for participant comfort, strict confidentiality, and acknowledgment of their contributions.

Limitations

Challenges such as security concerns, time constraints, and logistical issues limited the study to one camp, yet the researcher systematically gathered accurate and valid data despite these obstacles.

Findings and Data Analysis

The previous chapter outlined Hannah Arendt's concept of the "right to have rights" and the methodology through which this study is conducted. This chapter focuses on analyzing the findings from primary data to understand the paradox of legal rights and the situation of refugees in Haripur. The analysis examines the views of refugees about their legal status and living conditions after migration.

Denial of Rights and Basic Services

Refugees in Pakistan are denied legal and national rights, which prevents them from accessing basic services such as education, healthcare, and legal employment. Education, considered a fundamental human right, is often



Vol. 2 No. 5 (December) (2024)

inaccessible to refugees. There are only a few primary schools in the camps, and higher education institutions are negligible. Refugees are not eligible for government jobs, even when they meet basic qualifications. The lack of basic health systems exacerbates their situation, leaving them in a miserable state. As stateless individuals, they are stigmatized and exploited, perpetuating a cycle of poverty and dependency on aid.

Loss of Political Agency: Right to Have Rights

Refugees in Haripur find themselves in a precarious situation due to the denial of their basic human rights. Many refugees lack legal recognition and citizenship, which further marginalizes them. According to Arendt, the "right to have rights" is inextricably linked to political agency and participation in public life. However, refugees in Haripur are often excluded from decision-making processes and lack representation at provincial and national levels. This marginalization makes it difficult for them to integrate into society, leading to feelings of alienation, hopelessness, and identity crises.

Many refugees stated that they are likely to feel socially alienated from the host society due to their inability to voice their concerns in political and public matters. This exclusion impedes their integration into society, as they remain on the periphery and cannot contribute to the community's growth and development. The lack of political representation can lead to feelings of hopelessness, alienation, and a loss of purpose. In addition to challenges related to displacement and adaptation to a new culture, the denial of political agency contributes to a loss of self-identity and a struggle to maintain a sense of who they are in their new environment.

Displacement and Loss of Identity

Almost all refugees in Haripur shared the view that the experience of being a refugee—forced to leave one's homeland due to conflict, persecution, or other crises—is marked by profound trauma and dislocation. These individuals are uprooted from their familiar environments, communities, and support systems, and find themselves in unfamiliar and often hostile territories. This reality is particularly evident in places like Haripur, where the challenges faced by refugees are compounded by the absence of legal recognition, further exacerbating their sense of loss and disorientation.

Refugees expressed that, in addition to losing their physical homes, they also left behind their cultural heritage, social connections, and a sense of belonging, which are integral to their identities. This loss goes beyond mere geographical displacement; it involves a displacement of self, an upheaval of the core components that define who they are. Rebuilding one's life after such displacement becomes a daunting task, as the very foundations upon which their identities were built have been shaken.

In Haripur, the absence of legal recognition amplifies these challenges. Legal recognition is not just a bureaucratic formality; it is a critical means by which individuals can establish their identity in a new context. Without legal documentation, refugees are denied access to basic rights, services, and opportunities. They exist in a kind of limbo, neither fully integrated into their new host society nor able to return to their original homes. This legal invisibility



Vol. 2 No. 5 (December) (2024)

perpetuates their sense of dislocation and reinforces the notion that they are outsiders, further eroding their sense of belonging.

The Psychological Impact of Loss and Displacement

The psychological toll of this loss of identity is profound. Refugees in Haripur described their plight as feeling stripped of their nationality, history, and the markers that define their place in the world. Refugees often struggle to find their footing in a new environment, grappling with questions of who they are and where they belong. This internal turmoil hinders their ability to engage fully with their new community and contribute to society. When one's sense of self is shattered, it becomes challenging to envision a future, let alone actively work toward one.

Humanitarian Aid Dependency

One of the NGO stakeholders working in the Haripur camp shared their perspective on providing aid to refugees. They explained that refugees in Haripur face a harsh reality: they are denied basic rights and must rely on aid groups for survival. Relying solely on charity makes them feel helpless and traps them in a cycle of short-term relief, which does not help them become self-sufficient or develop in the long run.

Refugees are caught in a difficult situation. Lacking the rights that most people take for granted, they are forced to depend on humanitarian agencies for help. While these agencies provide essential support such as food and shelter, excessive dependence undermines the refugees' ability to take control of their own lives. The constant need for aid stifles their potential to build a better future for themselves.

It is crucial to strike a balance between providing immediate assistance and fostering long-term progress. While aid is necessary, it should also empower refugees to stand on their own feet. Education and training can help them acquire skills and find jobs, breaking the cycle of dependence.

In the end, the story of refugees in Haripur underscores the importance of human rights and dignity. Denying these rights leads to a dependence on charity. To truly help, we must empower refugees to become self-reliant and independent, giving them the chance to create a better future for themselves.

Social and Cultural Integration

Regarding social and cultural integration, refugees expressed that they engage deeply with the local way of life, traditions, customs, and language, allowing them to become active participants rather than passive observers. This is evidenced by the frequent intermarriage between the host community and migrant refugees.

When asked about the attitude of the local community toward them, refugees replied that acts of empathy, acceptance, and cultural exchange serve as stepping stones toward bridging the gap between diverse backgrounds. These exchanges facilitate refugees' access to social services and businesses, creating an atmosphere in which their unique skills and talents can be celebrated.

Role of Local and International Organizations



Vol. 2 No. 5 (December) (2024)

According to the respondents, local movements and groups, such as the "Haripur Harmony Collective," are playing a pivotal role in ensuring that refugees have the same rights as everyone else, even though they may not have significant financial resources. These groups create safe spaces for refugees, help them attend school, and empower them to improve their lives. They demonstrate how communities can come together and remain strong, even in the face of adversity.

Respondents also highlighted the vital role of international organizations like UNHCR, which work hard to provide refugees with essential support, including building schools and hospitals and teaching valuable skills. These organizations collaborate with local groups to help refugees become self-sufficient. However, they sometimes face challenges with complex regulations and paperwork. When local and international organizations work together, it is like a beautiful harmony, ensuring that good things continue to happen for refugees. In Haripur, this teamwork resembles a symphony of progress, an ongoing song that strives to make the world a better place for refugees.

Conclusion

This study focuses on exploring Hannah Arendt's concept of "The Right to Have Rights" and its implications for refugees settled in the Haripur camp. The main aim of the study is to highlight the statelessness situation faced by refugees in Haripur, where they are deprived of their fundamental, inalienable human rights. The project also emphasizes the empowerment of refugees through the recognition of their legal rights, their involvement in decision-making processes, the roles of local and international actors, and their social integration with the local community.

The study was conducted in a refugee camp in the district of Haripur, using a qualitative research method. In-depth interviews were conducted with 20 respondents, selected through convenience sampling techniques.

The study reveals significant challenges faced by refugees in Haripur, underscoring the importance of recognizing and safeguarding their right to have rights. The denial of basic human rights—such as legal recognition, citizenship, education, healthcare, and political agency—leaves refugees in a vulnerable and precarious position. This denial not only perpetuates their marginalization but also hinders their integration into the host society, contributing to feelings of alienation, hopelessness, and identity crises.

Furthermore, the displacement experienced by refugees extends beyond physical relocation; it disrupts their cultural heritage, social connections, and self-identity. The lack of legal recognition exacerbates these challenges, leaving them in a state of limbo and reinforcing their outsider status. This loss of identity has a profound psychological impact, limiting their ability to engage with their new community and envision a positive future.

The research also reveals that while humanitarian aid is crucial for immediate survival, it can inadvertently foster dependency and hinder refugees' path toward self-reliance. Achieving a balance between providing short-term assistance and fostering long-term empowerment is essential to break this cycle and enable refugees to shape their own futures.

Despite these challenges, there are signs of hope and resilience. Efforts by local movements, international organizations such as UNHCR, and the support of the



Vol. 2 No. 5 (December) (2024)

local community demonstrate that collaboration can bring about positive change. Social and cultural integration initiatives, alongside shared experiences between refugees and the host community, are creating a sense of belonging and breaking down barriers.

References

- Azar, L. F. (2019). *Hannah Arendt: The Right to Have Rights*. Critical Legal Thinking.
- Lacroix, J., & Pranchère, J. (2018). The 'right to have rights': Revisiting Hannah Arendt. In *Human Rights on Trial: A Genealogy of the Critique of Human Rights* (pp. 206-228). Human Rights in History.
- Abizadeh, A. (2008). Democratic theory and border coercion: No right to unilaterally control your own borders. *Political Theory*, 36, 37–65.
- Arendt, H. (1951). *The Origins of Totalitarianism*. Harcourt Brace & Company.
- Arendt, H. (1958). *The Human Condition*. University of Chicago Press.
- Arendt, H. (1951). *The Concept of the Right to Have Rights*. In *The Origins of Totalitarianism*, Chapter 9, Harcourt Brace & Company.
- Tribune. (2016). Xenophobia towards refugees. *The Express Tribune*. Retrieved from <https://tribune.com.pk/story/1131378/xenophobia-towards-refugees>
- UNHCR Pakistan. (2021). Government delivered first new Proof of Registration smartcards to Afghan refugees. May 25, 2021. Retrieved from <https://www.unhcr.org>
- The End of the 1951 Refugee Convention? Dilemmas of Sovereignty, Territoriality, and Human Rights. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s42439-020-00022->
- Benhabib, S. (2002). *The Reluctant Modernism of Hannah Arendt*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Villa, D. (2009). *Politics, Philosophy, Terror: Essays on the Thought of Hannah Arendt*. Princeton University Press.
- Baehr, P. (2010). *Hannah Arendt, Totalitarianism, and the Social Sciences*. Stanford University Press.
- Bloch, E., & Holmes, P. (2012). *Arendt and Adorno: Political and Philosophical Investigations*. Stanford University Press.
- Agosta, L. (2014). *Existentialist Philosophy: An Introduction*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Dumont, L. (1980). *Hannah Arendt and the Tradition of Political Thought*. University of Chicago Press.
- Carens, J. H. (2013). *The Ethics of Immigration*. Oxford University Press.
- Morris, J. (2017). *Refugees and the Right to Have Rights*. *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 30(4), 507-525.
- Sassen, S. (2014). *Expulsions: Brutality and Complexity in the Global Economy*. Harvard University Press.
- Fitzpatrick, J. (2001). *The International Refugee Regime: The Role of UNHCR*. *International Migration Review*, 35(3), 738-755.
- Haddad, E. (2008). *The Refugee in International Society: Between Sovereigns*. Cambridge University Press.