www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

# **One Time Periodic Solutions of Navier-Stokes Equations using Asymptotic Stability and Bifurcations**

Sajad Ali (Corresponding Author) Department of Mathematics, University of Chitral Email: sahasali143@gmail.com

Malak Roman Department of Computer Science, University of Chitral

Awrang Zaib Department of Computer Science, GDC Wari Dir-Upper-KPK

# **Abstract:**

This article demonstrated an asymptotic dependability basis for the arrangements of Primitive conditions defined on a three-dimensional finite barrel-shaped space with time-subordinate compelling terms. Under a reasonable littleness presumption on the nontrivial driving terms, we get the presence of the time occasional answer for the Primitive conditions. Also, this time-occasional arrangement is asymptotically steady in L2 sense.

Keywords: Asymptotoc stability, Bifurcations, Navier-Stokes Equations

# **Introduction**

The Primitive conditions of the huge scope sea are gotten from the Navier-Stokes conditions from Coriolis power, combined with thermal dynamic condition and saltiness diffusing-transport condition under the Boussinesq and hydrostatic approximations. From numerical perspectives, individuals were persuaded that the issue of worldwide well-posedness of solid answers for 3D gooey Primitive conditions may be as hard as the 3D Navier-Stokes conditions or considerably more difficult. For sure, by considering the hydrostatic estimation, the vertical speed in the Primitive conditions turns into a demonstrative variable due to the divergence free condition. Along these lines, the nonlinear term for the incompressible Navier-Stokes conditions has the form: velocity first-request subsidiaries of speed while the Primitive conditions have a more convoluted structure: first-request subordinates of level speed first-request subsidiaries of even speed.

The central issue is that the obscure weight (the surface weight) is basically a component of two-dimensional even factors. The creators exploit the central issue to build up the pivotal L6 gauge for the speed in the confirmation of the worldwide well-posedness of solid arrangements. For quite a few years, asymptotic strength issues for fluid movements under different sorts of

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

settings have pulled in a ton of consideration. Roused by a progression of papers of Serrin, numerous creators have given to the investigation of the presence of time-occasional arrangements of Navier-Stokes conditions under different settings. We allude the intrigued perusers to on account of limited spaces and on account of unbounded areas. In, under the presumption of the presence of worldwide in time arrangements of 3d Navier-Stokes conditions, Serrin gave a widespread measure of the asymptotic soundness of the speed fields. In particular, assume the fluid with the consistency ν and maximal speed V is confined in a limited three-dimensional space with breadth d. At that point, the flow with the Reynolds number  $V$  d/v under is asymptotically steady in L2 sense. In a proceeded with work, Serrin demonstrated the presence of time-intermittent arrangements with period T under the further suspicions:

(1) The driving term is time-occasional with period T, and

(2) There exists a flow with Reynolds number under and this flow is equicontinuous in space variable forever.

The asymptotic solidness of e conditions defined on a finite tube-shaped space with time subordinate compelling terms. At the point when appropriate littleness conditions are forced on the driving terms, we demonstrate that the L2 standard of the difference of any two in number arrangements will remain in general zero dramatically. As a rule, it is difficult to infer a rot gauge of the L2(M) standard of the difference of two discretionary flows. Be that as it may, the conditions' convection structure permits us to infer such a gauge between a subjective flow and a flow with certain diminutiveness. In this manner, we can utilize the triangle disparity to get the rot gauge of the difference of two subjective flows. At that point, we demonstrate the presence and time-intermittent arrangement under the supposition that the driving capacities are time-occasional and little. The littleness in the above explanations relies upon the  $v_1,v_2,u_1,u_2$ , the limit condition  $\alpha$  and the size of the area.

As a fundamental work for investigating the asymptotic soundness of Primitive conditions with time-subordinate driving, we follow the thoughts of and demonstrate the worldwide in time presence of answers for Primitive conditions in our setting. We next exploit the uniform lemma to get the appraisals to build up a coupled framework of conventional differential imbalances referring the energy appraisals of fluid speed and the temperature work. Under a reasonable diminutiveness condition on the constraining term, the asymptotic solidness of arrangements with little beginning information is then gotten from this arrangement of differential imbalances. Under a comparative setting, in Tachim treated the presence of time-intermittent arrangements of the Primitive conditions by Galerkin's technique under a generally more grounded supposition that the warmth source is differentiable in transient variable and fulfilling some diminutiveness conditions. Notwithstanding, the creator didn't address the security issue in. In this article, we loosen up the routineness prerequisite of driving terms and we give an

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

on Γl :

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

asymptotic strength investigation. The thought we utilize to demonstrate the presence and uniqueness of time occasional solid arrangements depends on a Serrin's strategy, which we think all the more intelligently direct and numerically excellent. It is worth-referenced that our investigation can be applied to two-dimensional Navier-Stokes conditions combined with heat diffusion conditions on limited areas. It should be simple for intrigued perusers to supply the essential subtleties.

The Primitive conditions and their varieties are that we restate imbalances, uniform disparity and some significant numerical outcomes on Primitive conditions. The worldwide in time presence of the arrangement and a vital lemma are expressed and demonstrated. At long last, we state and demonstrate our primary hypotheses. Investigation for different spaces, such as the round shell area or unbounded area, should be tended to elsewhere. MODEL EQUATION:

$$
\partial v \, \partial t + (v \cdot \nabla)v + w \, \partial v \, \partial z + f v \perp + \nabla p = v 14v + \mu 1 \partial 2v + \partial z^2 + F^1
$$

$$
\nabla p = v14v + \mu 1 \partial 2v + \partial z^2 + F1 + w \partial \theta \partial z = v24\theta + \mu 2 \partial 2\theta \partial z^2 + F2
$$

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = 0
$$
\n
$$
w = 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = -\alpha \theta
$$
\non  $\Gamma$ 

\n

Here,  $\alpha = 0$  is a given consistent and  $\alpha$ n is the inward unit typical vector to Γl. We comment that no wind-driven limit conditions are forced on the lower surface; free-slip and no warmth flux limit conditions are forced on the horizontal limit and base, as well. The underlying condition viable is given by:

$$
(v, \theta)(0) = (v0, \theta0).
$$
  
\n
$$
w(x, y, z, t) = -Z z - h\nabla \cdot v(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi + \partial v \partial t + (v \cdot \nabla)v
$$
  
\n
$$
p(x, y, z, t) = p0(x, y, t) - Z z - h\theta(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi
$$
  
\n
$$
\partial v \partial t + (v \cdot \nabla)v - (Z z - h\nabla \cdot v(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi) \partial v \partial z + fv \perp
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \nabla p0 - \nabla(Zz - h\theta(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi)
$$
  
\n
$$
= v14v + \mu 1 \partial 2v \partial z2 + F1
$$
  
\n
$$
\partial \theta \partial t + (v \cdot \nabla)\theta - (Z z - h\nabla \cdot v(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi) \partial \theta \partial z = v24\theta + \mu 2\theta \partial z2 + F2,
$$
  
\n
$$
\partial v \partial z = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
v = 1 hZ 0 - h v(x, y, z, t) dz, \tilde{v} = v - \tilde{v}.
$$

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

We comment that the variation  $\overline{\phantom{x}}$  v is meant to the barotropic mode and the variable  $\tilde{ }$  v is signified to the barochostic mode. As have been seen in we see that  $\bar{y}$  v and  $\bar{y}$  v fulfill the accompanying conditions:

 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) , and ( ) ( ( ) ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) =

Notice that there are three differences between our presumptions from those introduced in. To start with, rather than a Neumann limit condition, we force a Dirichlet limit state of temperature work θ on the horizontal limit Γu. The explanation is that we need a Poincare imbalance of  $\theta$  on  $\Gamma u$  for L4(M) gauge of  $\partial\theta/\partial z$ . Also, while we let F be time subordinate, the creators of, let F1 = 0 and F2 be time free. Third, for the underlying information, other than the condition, we add the suppositions and. The nearby presence and uniqueness of the solid answer for F ∈L ∞(0,T;L2(M)) have been demonstrated:

 $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3\theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 +  $x0010$  ZM $\theta$ 2 z $|\nabla \theta z|$ 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3 $|\theta$ 3 z $|L2 = |\theta 2 z|$ 3/  $2 L3 <$  $c_x0010$  |  $\theta$ z| 3 L4 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\partial \theta$ z  $\partial z$ | 2dM L2( $\Gamma u$ ) =  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c_x 0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $x0010$  | $\theta$ |4/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ )(| $\theta$ |2/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + | $\theta$ | $\nabla \theta$ ||1/3 L2( $\Gamma u$ ))3/2  $\leq$  L4( $\Gamma u$ ) +  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma$ u).

```
|ZM(vz \cdot \nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3 L4 +ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z\theta 2 zz dM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta 4 z dM| \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4_x0010_{\cdot}|\theta z|3L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +ZM02 z02, |ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial 3 z dM| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 z| L2 \leqc \partial F2 \partial z |L2_x0010_\theta z|3 + ZM\theta2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +
ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM3/4, |Z\Gamma u F2\theta3dM0| \leqc | F2| L2(Tu) \theta | 3 L4(Tu) + |\theta| 2 L4(Tu) |\theta |\nabla \theta| | 1/2 L2(M) \leqc|F2|L2(Tu)|\theta|4L4(Tu) + v2|\theta|\nabla|\theta||2L2(Tu) + c|F2|2L2(Tu).
```
As for the estimate of  $\nabla v$  in L2(M), by taking L2(M) inner product of with  $-4v$ and noting that

 $|ZM(v\cdot\overline{v})v\cdot 4v\,dM| \leq |v|L6|4v|L|\overline{v}v|L3 \leq c|v|L6|4v|L|\overline{v}(|4v|1/2L+$  $|\nabla \partial v \partial z|1/2 L$ )  $\leq v1 10|4v|2 L2 + \mu 1 2 |\nabla \partial v \partial z|2 L + c|v|4 L6|\nabla v|2 L$ 

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online

ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

 $|ZM_x001_Z z - h \nabla \cdot v d\xi \partial v \partial z \cdot 4v dM| \leq cZM0_x001_Z0 - h |\nabla v|d\xi Z0$ h |  $\partial v \partial z$ ||4 $v$ |dξdxdy  $\leq c$ | $\nabla v$ |1/2 L|  $\partial v \partial z$ |1/2 L| $\nabla \partial v \partial z$ |1/2 L2 |4 $v$ |3/2 L2  $\leq$  $v1 10|4v|2 L2 + c|\nabla v|2 L2|\nabla \partial v \partial z|2 L2|\partial v \partial z|2 L2,$ 

 $|ZMV_x0012_Z z - h\theta d\xi 4v dM| \le ZM(Z0 - h)$  $|\nabla \theta| d\xi| |4v| dM \leq c |\nabla \theta| L2 |4v| L2 \leq v1 10 |4v| 2 L2 + c |\nabla \theta| 2 L, |ZMfv|$  $4vdM \le c|v|2L2 + 110|4v|2L2, |ZMF1$  $(-4v)dM \le c|F1|L2|4v|L2 \le v1 10|4v|2 L2 + c v1|x0012_Z z - h\theta d\xi 4v dM$  $\leq ZM(Z \ 0-h)$ 

we reach

 $d dt |\nabla v| 2 L2 + v1 |4v| 2 L2 + \mu 1 |\nabla \partial v \partial z| 2 L2$  $\leq c(1 + |v|4 L6 + |\partial v \partial z|2L2|\nabla \partial v \partial z|2 L2)|\nabla v|2 L2 + c|\nabla \theta|2 L2$  $+ c|F1|2 L\infty(0,T; (L2(M))|\nabla v|2 L2 + Zt0 x0012 y1|4v|2 L2$  $+ \mu 1 |\nabla \partial v \partial z| 2 L2s \leq 16(t),$ 

#### Where

 $J6(t) = ec(1 + 724 + 72733 + 725)t_x0010$  |v0|2  $H1(M)2 + c71(t)t$ 

$$
|ZMv \cdot \nabla \theta(4\theta + \partial 2\theta \partial z) dM| \leq cZM|v||\nabla \theta|(|4\theta| + |\partial 2\theta \partial z|) dM
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c|v|L6(|4\theta|L2 + |\partial 2\theta \partial z|L2)|\nabla \theta|L3
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c|v|L6(|4\theta|L2 + |\partial 2\theta \partial z|L2)|\nabla \theta|1/2 L2 (|4\theta \nabla \partial v \partial z|1/2 L2)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq v2 6|4\theta|2 L2 + v2 + \mu2 4 |\nabla \partial v \partial z|2 L2 + \mu2 6|\partial 2\theta \partial z|L2
$$
  
\n+ c|v|4 L6|\nabla \theta|2 L2,  
\n
$$
|ZM_x0012_Z z - h\nabla \cdot v d\xi \partial \theta \partial z(4\theta + \partial 2\theta \partial z) dM|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ZM0_x0012_Z z - h\nabla \cdot v d\xi \partial \theta \partial z(4\theta + \partial 2\theta \partial z) dM|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ |\partial 2\theta \partial z|) d\xi dM0
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c|\nabla v4v|1/2 L2 |\partial \theta \partial z|1/2L2|\nabla \partial \theta \partial z|1/2 L2 (|4\theta|L2 + |\partial 2\theta \partial z|L2)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq v2 6 |4\theta|2 L2 + \mu2 6 |\partial 2\theta \partial z|2|2L2 + \mu2
$$
  
\n+ v2 4 |\nabla \partial \theta \partial z|2 L2 c|\nabla v|2 L2|4v|2 L2|\partial \theta \partial z|2 L2,  
\n
$$
|ZMF2(4\theta + \partial 2\theta \partial z2) dM| \leq c |F2|L2(|4\theta|L2 + |\partial 2\theta \partial z|L2)
$$

$$
\leq v2 \; 6 \; |4\theta|L2 + \mu 2 \; 6 \; |0\theta|L2 + c|E2|2 \; L\infty(0,T;L2(M)),
$$

we obtain

```
+ d \, dt x0012 | |\nabla \theta| 2 L2 + |\partial \theta \partial z| 2 L2 + \alpha |\nabla \theta| 2 L2(\Gamma u) + \nu1|4\theta| 2 L2 + (\nu1
                         +\mu1)_x0012_|\nabla \partial \theta \partial z|2 L2 + \alpha|\nabla \theta|2 L2(\Gamma u) + \mu1|\partial2\theta \partial z2|2 L2
                         \leq c |v| 4 L6 + |\nabla v| 2 L2 |4v| 2 L2 x 0012 |\nabla \theta| 2 L2 + |\partial \theta \partial z| 2 L2+ c | F2 | 2 L \infty (0, T; L2(M)).
```
www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

```
Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)
```
 $|\theta_3|$   $|L4|$  =  $|\theta$ 2 z $|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta$ 2 z $|L2 + |\nabla 3\theta$ 2 z $|L2 \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + \_x0010\_ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3| $\theta$ 3 z|L2 = | $\theta$ 2 z|3/  $2 L3 <$  $c_x0010$  |  $\theta$ z| 3 L4 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\partial \theta$ z  $\partial z$ | 2dM L2( $\Gamma u$ ) =  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c_x 0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $x0010$  | $\theta$ |4/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ )(| $\theta$ |2/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + | $\theta$ | $\nabla \theta$ ||1/3 L2( $\Gamma u$ ))3/2  $\leq$  L4( $\Gamma u$ ) +  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma$ u).  $|ZM(vz \cdot \nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3 L4 +$  $ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z\theta 2 zzdM(\nabla\cdot v)\theta 4 zdM| \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4 x0010 |\theta z|3 L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +$  $ZM\theta2 z\theta2$ ,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial z \theta3 zdM| \leq |\partial F2 \partial z| L2|\theta3 z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  |L2\_x0010\_ $\vert \theta z \vert$ 3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM +  $ZM\theta2z\theta2$  zzdM3/4,  $|Z\Gamma u F2\theta3dM0| \le$  $c$ |F2|L2( $\Gamma u$ ) $\theta$ |3 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + | $\theta$ |2 L4( $\Gamma u$ )| $\theta$ | $\nabla \theta$ ||1/2 L2(M),  $\leq$  $c|F2|L2(Tu)|\theta|4L4(Tu) + v2|\theta|\nabla|\theta||2L2(Tu) + c|F2|2L2(Tu) +$  $c|F2|L2(Tu).$ 

Gronwall inequality, we obtain

 $|\nabla \theta|$ 2 L2 +  $|\partial \theta \partial z|$ 2 L2 +  $\alpha |\nabla \theta|$ 2 L2( $\Gamma u$ ) + v1Z t 0 |4 $\theta$ |2 L2ds + Z t 0 (v1 + u1)  $x0012$   $|\nabla \partial \theta \partial z|$   $2 L2 + \alpha |\nabla \theta|$   $2 L2(\Gamma u) + u1|\partial 2\theta \partial z$   $2|2 L2 ds$  $( 7(t)$ , (3.51) where  $( 7(t)$  =  $ec(1 + 124 + 12/33)t + 126(t)x0010[\theta 0]2H1(M) +$  $ct|F2|2 L\infty(0,T; L2(M)).$ 

```
|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3 \theta 2 z|L2) \leq|\theta z|3 L4 + x0010 ZM\theta2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM3|\theta3 z|L2 = |\theta2 z|3/
2 L3 \leqc x0010 |\theta z|3L4 + ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z|\partial \theta z \partial z|2dM L2(Tu) =|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c x0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leqx0010 |\theta|4/3 L4(\Gamma u)(|\theta|2/3 L4(\Gamma u) + |\theta|\nabla\theta||1/3 L2(\Gamma u)3/2 \leq L4(\Gamma u) +|\theta|2 L4(\Gammau)|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2 L2(\Gammau).
|ZM(vz \cdot \nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3 L4 +ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z\theta 2 zz dM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta 4 z dM| \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4 x0010 |\theta z|3L4 + (ZM\theta2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +
ZM\theta2 z\theta2.1ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \theta3 zdM| < | \partial F2 \partial Z |L2|\theta3 z|L2 <
c \partial F2 \partial z | L2 x0010 | \thetaz| 3 + ZM\theta2 z| \nabla \thetaz| 2dM +
ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM3/4, |Z\Gamma u F2\theta3dM0| <
c|F2|L2(\Gamma u)\theta|3 L4(\Gamma u) + |\theta|2 L4(\Gamma u)|\theta|\nabla \theta||1/2 L2(M), <
c | F2| L2(Tu) | \theta | 4 L4(Tu) + v2 | \theta | \nabla | \theta | | 2 L2(Tu) + c | F2 | 2 L2(Tu) + c |c|F2|L2(Tu).
```
www.journalforeducationalresearch.online

ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

```
Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)
```
 $\langle (v \cdot \nabla)u - Z z - h \nabla \cdot v d \xi \partial u \partial z | u | 2u \rangle = 0, \langle v 14u + \mu 1 \partial 2u \partial 2z \rangle$  $|u|2u>=$  $-ZMv1|u|2|\nabla u|2+u1|u|2|\partial u \partial z|+v12|\nabla |u|2|2+u12|\partial \partial z|u|2|2dM$  $\langle \int f u \perp \vert u \vert^2 u \rangle = 0, \vert ZM \vert (u \cdot \nabla) v - (\nabla \cdot v) u \vert \cdot \vert u \vert^2 u \, dM \vert \leq$  $cZM|v||\nabla u||u|3 dM \leq c||\nabla u||u||L2||u|2|L3|v|L6 \leq c||\nabla u||u||L2||u|2|1$ 2 L2  $x0012$  | $\nabla |u|2|1/2$  L2 +  $|\partial \partial z|u|2|1/2$  L2 +  $||u|2|1/2$  L2 $|v|L6$ =  $c||\nabla u||u||L2|u|L4_x0012_|\nabla|u|2|1/2 L2 + |\partial \partial z|u|2|1/2 L2 + |u|L4|v|L6 \le$  $c(|v|2 L6 + |v|4 L6)|u|4 L4 + v1 4 ||\nabla u||u||2 L2 + v18 |\nabla |u|2 |2 L2 +$  $\mu$ 18 |  $\partial \frac{\partial z}{\partial l}$ |  $\partial \frac{\partial z}{\partial l}$  2 | 2, |  $\langle \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial l^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial l^2}$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + \_x0010\_ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3| $\theta$ 3 z|L2 = | $\theta$ 2 z|3/  $2 L3 \leq c x0010 |\theta z|3 L4 + ZM\theta 2$ 

 $|z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z| \partial\theta z \partial z|2dM L2(\Gamma u) = |02|3/2 L3(\Gamma u) \leq$  $c_x0010$  |  $\theta$ 2| 2/3 L3( $\Gamma u$ )|  $\theta$ 2| 1/3 H1( $\Gamma u$ ) 3/2  $\leq x0010$  |  $\theta$ | 4/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ )(| $\theta$ | 2/  $3 L4(Tu) + |\theta|\nabla\theta||1/3 L2(Tu))3/2 \leq L4(Tu) + |\theta|2 L4(Tu)|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2 L2(Tu).$ 

 $|ZM(vz \cdot \nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3 L4 +$  $ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z\theta 2 zz dM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta 4 z dM| \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4 x0010 |\theta z|3 L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +$ ZM02 z02,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial Z \partial M| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 Z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  |L2\_x0010\_ $\vert \theta z \vert$ 3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM +  $ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM3/4$ ,  $|Z\Gamma u F2\theta3dM0| \le$  $c | F2| L2(\Gamma u) \theta | 3 L4(\Gamma u) + |\theta| 2 L4(\Gamma u) |\theta |\nabla \theta| | 1/2 L2(M) \leq$  $c | F2| L2(Tu) | \theta | 4 L4(Tu) + v2 | \theta | \nabla | \theta | | 2 L2(Tu) + c | F2 | 2 L2(Tu) + c |$  $c|F2|L2(Tu)$   $\partial F1 \partial z$ 

```
|u|2u>|\leq |\partial F1 \partial z| |L2||u|3|L2 = |\partial F1 \partial z| |L2||u|2|3/2 L3 \leqc|\partial F1\partial z|L2 x0012 ||u||2||1/2 L2 (||\nabla |u||2||1/2 L2 + ||\partial \partial z|u||2||1/2 L2)3/2 \leqc|\partial F1 \partial z| L2|u|3/2 L4 (|\nabla |u|2|3/4 L2 + |\partial \partial z|u|2|3/4 L2) \leqv18|\nabla|u|2|2L2 + \mu 18|\partial \partial z|u|2|2L2 + c|\partial F1 \partial z|8/5L2|u|12/5L4 \lev18|\nabla|u|2|2L2 + \mu 18|\partial \partial z|u|2|2L2 + c|\partial F1 \partial z|L\infty(0,T; L2(M)2) +|\partial F1 \partial z| 2 L\infty(0, T; L2(M)2)|u| 4 L4,
|ZM\nabla\theta\cdot|u|2udM|\leq cZM|\theta||\nabla u||u|2dM\leq c|\theta|L4|u|L4||u||\nabla u||L2\leqv1 4 ||u|| \nabla u||2 L2 + c|\theta|2 L4 + |\theta|2 L4|u|4 L4.
```
L4 Estimate for ∂v/∂z To fill the second gap, we need to perform L4(M) estimate of  $\partial v/\partial z$ . For that purpose, we take L2(M) inner product of (3.44) with  $|u|$  and use the following facts:  $\langle (v \cdot \nabla)u - Z z - h \nabla \cdot v d \xi \partial u \partial z \rangle |u| |2u| = 0,$  $v14u + \mu 1 \partial 2u \partial 2z$ ,  $|u|2u>$  $-ZMv1|u|2|\nabla u|2 + \mu 1|u|2|\partial u \partial z| + v12|\nabla |u|2|2 + \mu 12|\partial \partial z|u|2|2dM <$  $\lceil (u \perp, |u|) \rceil$   $\geq$  0,  $\lceil ZM \rceil (u \cdot \nabla) v - (\nabla \cdot v) u \rceil \cdot |u| 2 u dM \rceil \leq$ 

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online

ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

 $cZM|v||\nabla u||u|3 dM \leq c||\nabla u||u||L2||u|2|L3|v|L6 \leq c||\nabla u||u||L2||u|2|1$  $2 L2_{x}0012_{y}|\nabla |u|2|1/2 L2 + |\partial \partial z|u|2|1/2 L2 + ||u|2|1/2 L2|v|L6 =$ c|| $\lceil \nabla u \rceil |u| |L2| |u| |L4_x 0012| |\nabla |u| |u| |1/2 |L2 + |\partial \partial z |u| |u| |L2 |L2 + |u| |u| |L6 \leq$  $c(|v|2 L6 + |v|4 L6)|u|4 L4 + v1 4 ||\nabla u||u||2 L2 + v1 8 ||\nabla |u|2 |2 L2 +$  $\mu$ 18 |  $\partial \partial z |u|$ 2|2 L2, |  $\langle \partial F$ 1 $\partial z$ ,  $|u|2u \rangle$  |  $\leq$  |  $\partial F$ 1 $\partial z$  | L2| |  $u$ | 3| L2  $|\partial F1 \partial z|$ [L2]|u|2|3/2 L3  $\leq c|\partial F1 \partial z|$ [L2\_x0012\_||u|2|1/2 L2 (|V|u|2|1/2 L2 +  $|\partial \partial z|$ u|2|1/2L2)3/2  $\leq c|\partial F1 \partial z|$ L2|u|3/2L4 (| $\nabla |u|$ 2|3/4L2 +  $|\partial \partial z|$ u|2|3/  $4 L2$ )  $\leq v18 |\nabla |u|2|2 L2 + u18 |\partial \partial z|u|2|2 L2 + c |\partial F1 \partial z|8/5 L2 |u|12/$  $5 L4 \leq v1 8 |\nabla |u| |2 |2 L2 + \mu 1 8 |\partial \partial z |u| |2 |2 L2 + c |\partial F1 \partial z |L \infty(0, T; L2(M)2)$  +  $|\partial F1\partial z|2 L\infty(0,T;L2(M)2)|u|4 L4,|ZM\nabla\theta\cdot|u|2udM|\leq$  $cZM|\theta||\nabla u||u|2 dM \leq c|\theta|L4|u|L4||u||\nabla u||L2 \leq v1 4||u||\nabla u||2 L2 +$  $c|\theta|2 L4 + |\theta|2 L4|u|4 L4,$ 

 $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3 \theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 +  $x0010 ZM\theta z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta z z\theta z zdM3|\theta 3 z|L2 = |\theta 2 z|3$  $2L3 <$  $c_x0010$  |  $\theta$ z| 3 L4 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\partial \theta$ z  $\partial z$ | 2dM L2( $\Gamma u$ ) =  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c x0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $x0010$  | $\theta$ |4/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ )(| $\theta$ |2/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + | $\theta$ | $\nabla \theta$ ||1/3 L2( $\Gamma u$ ))3/2  $\leq$  L4( $\Gamma u$ ) +  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma u$ ).  $|ZM(vz\cdot\nabla)\theta\theta$ 3 zdM $|\leq$  $|vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3|z|L4 \leq$  $|vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3L4 + ZM\theta2 z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta4 zdM| \le$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4 x0010 |\theta z|3 L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2 dM +$ ZM02 z02,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial 3 z dM| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  | L2 x0010 |  $\theta$ z| 3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM02 z02 zzdM3/4,  $|ZTu F2\theta3dM0| \le$  $c$ |F2|L2( $\Gamma u$ ) $\theta$ |3 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + | $\theta$ |2 L4( $\Gamma u$ )| $\theta$ | $\nabla \theta$ ||1/2 L2(M),  $\leq$  $c|F2|L2(Tu)|\theta|4 L4(Tu) + v2|\theta|\nabla|\theta||2 L2(Tu) + c|F2|2 L2(Tu) +$  $c$ | $F2$ | $L2(Tu)$ .

we reach

 $d dt |u| 4 L4 + 2\nu1||u||\nabla u||2 L2 + \nu1|\nabla |u|2|2 L2 + 2\mu1||u||\partial u \partial z||2 L2 +$  $\mu$ 1|  $\partial \partial z |u|$ 2|2 $L$ 2  $\leq$  $c(|v|2 L6+|v|4 L6+|\theta|2 L4+|\partial F1 \partial z|2 L\infty(0,T;L2(M)2))|u|4 L4+$  $c |\theta| 2 L4 + c |\partial F1 \partial z| L \infty (0, T; L2(M)2)$ . (3.52) Gronwall inequality, we have

 $|\partial v \partial z|$ 4L4 + Z t 0 v1|| $|\partial v \partial z| |\nabla \partial v \partial z|$ | + v1| $\nabla |\partial v \partial z|$ 2|2|2L2ds

 $+Zt0$  u1| $\partial v \partial z$ | $\partial 2v \partial 2z$ ||2 L2 u1| $\partial \partial z$ | $\partial v \partial z$ |2)|2 L2ds < I8(t).

Where

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

```
Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)
```
 $I(8(t) = ect + c(I1/6 1 I4/3 4 + I2 1 + I3/2 3)t$   $x0012$   $|\partial v0 \partial z|$  4  $L4(M)$  $+ c(12 \ 2 + |\partial F1 \ \partial z| 4 L\infty)$ 

Step 8. L4 ESTIMATE FOR ∂θ/∂z Taking L2 inner product of the z−derivative of  $(θz)$ 3 we obtain

1 4

 $d dt |\theta z|$ 4 L4 + 3v2ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM + 3µ2ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM  $= -ZM(vz \cdot \nabla)\theta\theta\lambda zdM + ZM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta\lambda zdM$  (3.54) + ZM  $\partial F\lambda \partial z\theta\lambda zdM$  +  $\mu$ 2*Z* $\Gamma$ *u*  $\theta$ *zz* $\theta$ *3 zdM*0.

Using the boundary situation,

 $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3 \theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + x0010 ZM $\theta$ 2 z $|\nabla \theta z|$ 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3 $|\theta$ 3 z $|L2 = |\theta 2 z|$ 3/  $2 L3 \leq$ c  $x0010$   $|\theta z|3 L4 + ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z|\partial \theta z \partial z|2dM L2(Tu) =$  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c_{x}0010_{\text{e}} |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $\lceil x0010 \rceil \theta | 4/3 \, L4(\lceil u \rceil) \frac{(\lceil \theta \rceil 2}{3} \, L4(\lceil u \rceil + \lceil \theta \rceil \sqrt{\theta} \rceil | 1/3 \, L2(\lceil u \rceil) \cdot 3/2 \leq L4(\lceil u \rceil + \lceil u \rceil)$  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma$ u).  $|ZM(vz\cdot\nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq$  $|vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3L4 + ZM\theta2 z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta4 zdM|$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4_x0010|\theta z|3L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +$ ZM02 z02,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial 3 z dM| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  | L2 x0010 |  $\theta$ z| 3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM02 z02 zzdM3/4,  $|Z\Gamma u F2\theta 3dM0| \le$  $c|F2|L2(Tu)\theta|3L4(Tu)+|\theta|2L4(Tu)|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2L2(M),\leq$  $c|F2|L2(Tu)|\theta|4 L4(Tu) + v2|\theta|\nabla|\theta||2 L2(Tu) + c|F2|2 L2(Tu) +$  $c|F2|L2(Tu).$ 

 $\theta z(z = 0) = -\alpha \theta(z = 0).$ and remaining the equation on the upper boundary Γu, we obtain that  $\mu$ 2Z $\Gamma$ u  $\theta$ zz $\theta$ 3 zdM $0 = -\alpha Z \Gamma u_x$ 0010\_ $\partial \theta$   $\partial t$   $\theta$ 3  $-$  v24 $\theta$  $\theta$ 3  $-$  F2 $\theta$ 3dM $0 =$  $-\alpha$ 3 x0010 1 4 d dt| $\theta$ |4 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + 3v2Z $\Gamma u$ | $\nabla \theta$ |2 $\theta$ 2dM $0 - Z\Gamma u$  F2 $\theta$ 3dM $0$ .

Next, noting that:

 $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3 \theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + x0010 ZM $\theta$ 2 z $|\nabla \theta z|$ 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3 $|\theta$ 3 z $|L2 = |\theta 2 z|$ 3/  $2 L3 <$ c x0010  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $|\nabla \theta z|$ 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $|\partial \theta z|\partial z|$ 2dM L2( $\Gamma u$ ) =  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c x0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $x0010$  |  $\theta$ | 4/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ )(|  $\theta$ | 2/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + |  $\theta$ |  $\nabla \theta$ || 1/3 L2( $\Gamma u$ ))3/2  $\leq$  L4( $\Gamma u$ ) +  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma$ u).

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online

ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

 $|ZM(vz \cdot \nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3 L4 +$  $ZM\theta2 z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM(\nabla\cdot v)\theta4 zdM| \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4_x0010|\theta z|3L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +$ ZM02 z02,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial Z \partial M| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 Z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  | L2 x0010 |  $\theta$ z| 3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM02 z02 zzdM3/4,  $|Z\Gamma u F2\theta 3dM0| \le$  $c | F2 | L2 (Fu) \theta | 3 L4 (Fu) + | \theta | 2 L4 (Fu) | \theta | \nabla \theta | | 1/2 L2(M), \leq$  $c | F2| L2(Tu) | \theta | 4 L4(Tu) + v2 | \theta | \nabla | \theta | | 2 L2(Tu) + c | F2 | 2 L2(Tu) + c |$  $c$ | $F2$ | $L2$ ( $Tu$ ).

Furthermore, having traced up with the theorem:

 $F2|L2(Tu) \leq c x0010 |F2|L2(M) + |\partial F2 \partial z| L2(M)$ . Hence,  $|ZTu F2\theta 3dM0| \leq$  $c(|F2|L2 + |\partial F2 \partial z|L2)|\theta$ |4 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + v2| $\theta$ | $\nabla \theta$ ||2 L2( $\Gamma u$ ) + c| $F2$ |2 L2 +  $c|\partial F2 \partial z|$  2 L2 +  $c|F2|L2 + c|\partial F2 \partial z|L2$ .  $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3 \theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + x0010 ZM $\theta$ 2 z|V $\theta$ z|2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3| $\theta$ 3 z|L2 = | $\theta$ 2 z|3/  $2L3 <$  $\int c x 0010 \left| \frac{\theta z}{3} \right| 4 + Z M \theta^2 z \left| \frac{\nabla \theta z}{2} \right| 2 dM + Z M \theta^2 z \left| \frac{\partial \theta z}{\partial z} \right| 2 dM L^2(\Gamma u) =$  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c_x \times 0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $\lceil x0010 \rceil \theta | 4/3 \, L4(\lceil u \rceil) \, (\lceil \theta \rceil \, 2/3 \, L4(\lceil u \rceil + \lceil \theta \rceil \, \lceil \theta \rceil \, \lceil \theta \rceil \, \lceil \, 2(\lceil u \rceil) \, 3/2 \leq L4(\lceil u \rceil + \lceil u \rceil)$  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma$ u).

 $|ZM(vz\cdot\nabla)\theta\theta3zdM|\leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3z|L4\leq$  $|vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3L4 + ZM\theta2 z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta4 zdM \leq$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4 x0010 |\theta z|3 L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2 dM +$ ZM02 z02,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial 3 z dM| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  |L2\_x0010\_ $\theta z$ |3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM +  $ZM\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3/4,  $ZTu$  F2 $\theta$ 3dM0 $\leq$  $c|F2|L2(Tu)\theta|3L4(Tu)+|\theta|2L4(Tu)|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2L2(M),\leq$  $c | F2| L2(Tu) | \theta | 4 L4(Tu) + v2 | \theta | \nabla | \theta | | 2 L2(Tu)$ 

 $F = (F1, F2) \in L\infty(0, \infty; (L2(M))3), \partial F\infty(0, \infty; (L2(M))3), (\nu 0, \theta 0)$  $\in V$ ,  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \in (L4(M))2$  and  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \in L4(M)$ .

Then basically the 2  $\gamma$  1 and  $\gamma$  2 such that for (v, $\theta$ ), the strong solution to with the initial condition (vo, $\theta$ o),

if (vo, $\theta$ o) and F = (F1,F2) satisfies  $|v0| \ge H1 + |\theta 0| \ge H1 + |\partial v \partial \partial z| \ge L4 +$  $|\partial \theta \theta \partial z| L4(M) \leq \gamma 1 \leq \gamma * 1,$ 

 $|F|2 L\infty(0,\infty;(L2(M))3)+|\partial F\partial z|2 L\infty(0,\infty;(L2(M))3)\leq \gamma^2\leq \gamma*2,$ then we have

sup  $t \geq 0 |v(t)|^2 H_1 + |\theta(t)|^2 H_1 + |\partial v(t)|^2 L_4 + |\partial \theta(t)|^2 L_4 \leq$  $C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2),$ 

when( $y_1, y_2$ ) positive numbered property having been determined

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

# Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

### γ1 and γ2 such that  $C(y_1, y_2)$  & 0 as γ1 + γ2 & 0.

Here, the constants  $y*$  1 and  $y*$  2 depend only on  $\mu$ 1, v2, and the size of domain M. Assuming (v(t),θ(t)) is the strong solution of with the initial condition (v0,θ0) that satisfication. Since J1(t) and J5(t)−J9(t) are continuously in state of providing with in temporary constant and agree with the squares of norms of the initial data at  $t = 0$ , we see that there exists  $t * > 0$ such that  $|v(t)| \geq H_1 + |\theta(t)| \geq H_1 + |\partial v(t)| \partial z| \geq L_4 + |\partial \theta(t)| \partial z| \geq L_4 \leq 2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$  $v_2$ ),  $\forall$ 0  $\leq$  t  $\leq$  t\*.

let r be an integral value positive number satisfying  $0 \leq 6r \leq t^*$ . When it is needed, we may reduce γ1, γ2 and r. We shall obtain the smallness condition  $(3.8)$  in the following three steps. L2 smallness By  $(3.11)$ , we have  $|\theta(t)|$  2  $L$  2  $\leq |\theta 0|$  2  $L$  2 + 1  $K$  2| $F$  2| $2$   $L$   $\infty$  (0,  $\infty$ ;  $L$  2( $M$ ))  $\leq \gamma$  1 + 1K 2  $\gamma$  2  $\leq C(\gamma$  1,  $\gamma$  2).  $(3.63)$ Integrating (3.10) with respect to time variable from t to  $t + r$ , by (3.63), we obtain  $2v2Z t + r t |\nabla \theta|2 L2 ds + u2Z t + r t |\partial \theta dz|2 L2 ds + u2\alpha Z t +$  $rt |\theta|2 L2(Tu) ds \le |\theta(t)|2 L2(M) + 1 K|F2|2 L\infty(0, \infty; L2(M)) \le$  $C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2), \forall t \ge 0$ . (3.64)  $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3\theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + x0010 ZM $\theta$ 2 z|V $\theta z$ |2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3| $\theta$ 3 z|L2 =  $|\theta$ 2 z|3/  $2 L3 <$ c x0010  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $|\nabla \theta z|$ 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $|\partial \theta z|\partial z|$ 2dM L2( $\Gamma u$ ) =  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) < c x0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 <$  $\lceil x0010 \rceil \theta |4/3 L4(Tu)(|\theta|2/3 L4(Tu) + |\theta|\nabla\theta||1/3 L2(Tu))3/2 \leq L4(Tu) +$  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma$ u).  $|ZM(vz\cdot\nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq$  $|vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3L4 + ZM\theta2 z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta4 zdM|$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4_x0010|\theta z|3L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +$ ZM02 z02,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial 3 z dM| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  | L2 x0010 |  $\theta$ z| 3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM02 z02 zzdM3/4,  $|ZTu F2\theta3dM0| \le$  $c | F2| L2(Tu) \theta | 3 L4(Tu) + |\theta| 2 L4(Tu) |\theta |\nabla \theta| | 1/2 L2(M) \leq$  $c$ |F2|L2( $\Gamma$ u)| $\theta$ |4 L4( $\Gamma$ u) we having

 $|v(t)|$ 2 L2  $\leq C(y_1,y_2)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .

Step 2. H<sub>1</sub> smallness Integrating with respect to time variable over  $[t, t + r]$ , we obtain  $v1Z t + r t |\nabla v|2 L2 ds + 2u1Z t + r t |\partial v \partial z|2 L2 ds \le C(v1, v2), \forall t \ge$  $\theta$ .

As for the L6 estimate of θ, we note that

 $|\theta_0|$ 2 L6  $\leq c |\theta_0|$ 2 H1  $\leq$  cv1

Hence, by we obtain  $|\theta(t)|$  L6  $\leq C(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ . (3.68) By and the assumption (3.59), we see that B1(t) in satisfies B1(t)  $\leq C(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) + c|\nabla v| \geq L_2$ .

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

```
Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)
```
Hence, by (3.66), we obtain  $Z t + r t B1(s) ds \le C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2)$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . (3.69) Next, by  $(3.67)$  and the assumption  $(3.59)$ , we see that B2(t) in satisfies Z t+r t  $B_2(s)ds \leq C(\gamma_1,\gamma_2), t \geq 0.$  Notice that  $|\gamma_1/2| \leq L_2(L_1)(\gamma_1)/2L_2(L_1)(\gamma_2)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_1)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_2)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_1)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_2)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_1)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_1)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_2)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_1)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_2)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_1)/2L_1(L_1)(\gamma_2)/2$  $c|v|L6 \leq |\nabla v|2 L2.$ We then derive from  $(3.66)$  that  $Z t + r t$  |  $v$  | 2 L6 ds  $\leq cZ t + r t$  |  $\overline{v}v$  | 2 L2 ds  $\leq C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .  $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3 \theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + \_x0010\_ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3| $\theta$ 3 z|L2 = | $\theta$ 2 z|3/  $2L3 <$  $c_x0010$  |  $\theta$ z| 3 L4 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\partial \theta$ z  $\partial z$ | 2dM L2( $\Gamma u$ ) =  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c x0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $\lceil x0010 \rceil \theta | 4/3 \, L4(\lceil u \rceil) \, (\lceil \theta \rceil \, 2/3 \, L4(\lceil u \rceil + \lceil \theta \rceil \, \lceil \theta \rceil \, \lceil \theta \rceil \, \lceil \, 1/3 \, L2(\lceil u \rceil) \, 3/2 \leq L4(\lceil u \rceil + \lceil \theta \rceil \, \l$  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta|$ |1/2 L2( $\Gamma$ u).  $|ZM(vz\cdot\nabla)\theta\theta3 zdm|$  <  $|vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4$  <  $|vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3L4 + ZM\theta2 z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta4 zdM| \le$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4_x0010|\theta z|3L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +$ ZM02 z02,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial Z \partial M| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 Z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  |L2\_x0010\_ $\vert \theta z \vert$ 3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM +  $ZM\theta2 z\theta2 zzdM3/4, |Z\Gamma u F2\theta3dM0| \leq$  $c | F2 | L2 (Tu) \theta | 3 L4 (Tu) + | \theta | 2 L4 (Tu) | \theta | \nabla \theta | | 1/2 L2(M) \leq$  $c|F2|L2(\Gamma u)|\theta|4 L4(\Gamma u) + v2|\theta|\nabla|\theta||2 L2(\Gamma u) + c|F2|2 L2(\Gamma u) + c|F2|L2(\Gamma u)$ Inferring from  $(3.39)$ , by  $(3.69)$  – $(3.71)$  and the uniform l lemma, we obtain  $| v(t) | 2 L6 \leq C(y1, y2), \forall t \geq r$ . (3.72) Moreover, by we derive from that  $Z t + r t ||\tilde{v}||2|\overline{v}|\tilde{v}||2 L2 ds \leq C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2), \forall t \geq 2r.$ the uniform lemma, we derive from that  $|\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 L2(M0) \leq C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2), \forall t \geq 3r.$ Meanwhile, we obtain  $|v|L6 \leq C(y_1, y_2)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 3r$ . Again, by the uniform Gronwall lemma, and, we derive from that  $\partial v \partial z |L2 \leq C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2), \forall t \geq 4r$ . Moreover, it is inferred from that  $Z t +$  $r t \nu 1 | \nabla \partial \nu \partial z | 2 L2 + \mu 1 | \partial 2 \nu \partial z^2 | 2 L2 ds \leq C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2), \forall t \geq 4r.$  Inferring from (3.48), by and the uniform Gronwall lemma, we have  $|\nabla v|L2 \leq$  $C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2), \forall t \geq 5r$ . Moreover, from, by direct integration, we have  $Z t + r t |4v| \geq L^2 dM \leq$  $C(y_1, y_2)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 5r$ . Applying the uniform l lemma, we have  $|\nabla \theta|$  2 L2 +  $|\partial \theta \partial z|$  2 L2 +  $\alpha |\nabla \theta|$  2 L2( $\Gamma u$ )  $\leq C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 6r$ (3.80) Step 3. L4 smallness. To manipulate the L4 smallness of ∂v/∂z, we have the following observations. First, due to the boundary condition  $\partial v \partial z = 0$ , on Γb, the Poincare inequality for |vz|2 gives the inequality  $|vz|4 L4 \leq |vz|2|2 L2 \leq c |\partial \partial z (|vz|2)|L2.$  (3.81)

We may choose γ1 and γ2 small so that, by  $(3.75)$  and  $(3.68)$ , we have

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

```
Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)
```
 $c(|v|2 L6+|v|4 L6+|\theta|2 L4+|\partial F1 \partial Z|2 L\infty(0,T;L2(M)2))|vz|4 L4 \leq$  $C(y1, y2) | \partial \partial z (|vz|2) | 2 L2 \leq \mu 1 2 | \partial z (|vz|2) | 2 L2, \forall t \geq 3r$ . (3.82)  $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3 \theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 + x0010 ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla \theta z$ |2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z $\theta$ 2 zzdM3| $\theta$ 3 z|L2 =  $|\theta$ 2 z|3/  $2 L3 <$  $c_x0010$  |  $\theta$ z| 3 L4 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\partial \theta$ z  $\partial z$ | 2dM L2( $\Gamma u$ ) =  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c_x 0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $x0010$  | $\theta$ |4/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ )(| $\theta$ |2/3 L4( $\Gamma u$ ) + | $\theta$ | $\nabla \theta$ ||1/3 L2( $\Gamma u$ ))3/2  $\leq$  L4( $\Gamma u$ ) +  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma$ u).

```
|ZM(vz \cdot \nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3 L4 +ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z\theta 2 zz dM(\nabla \cdot v)\theta 4 z dM| \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4_x0010_{\theta z}|\theta z|3L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +ZM02 z02, |ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial 3 z dM| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\partial 3 z| L2 \leqc \partial F2 \partial z | L2 x0010 | \thetaz| 3 + ZM\theta2 z| \nabla \thetaz| 2dM +
ZM02 z02 zzdM3/4, |Z\Gamma u F2\theta 3dM0| \lec|F2|L2(\Gamma u)\theta|3 L4(\Gamma u) + |\theta|2 L4(\Gamma u)|\theta|\nabla \theta||1/2 L2(M), \leqc | F2| L2(Tu) | \theta | 4 L4(Tu) + v2 | \theta | \nabla | \theta | | 2 L2(Tu) + c | F2 | 2 L2(Tu) + c |c|F2|L2(Tu)
```
By (3.82) and Gronwall inequality, we infer from (3.52) that  $| \partial v \partial z | 2 L4 < C(v1, v2)$ ,  $\forall t > 3r$ , (3.83) To proceed the L4 smallness of ∂θ/∂z, we notice that the boundary conditions  $\partial θ \partial z = 0$  on Γb,  $θ = 0$  on Γl, give the Poincare inequalities

 $|\theta 2 z|2 L2 \leq cZM\theta 2 z\theta 2 zz dM$ ,  $|\theta 2|2 L2(Tu) \leq cZTu \theta 2|\nabla \theta|2dM0$ . Now, choose  $\gamma * 1$  and  $\gamma * 2$  small enough so that for  $\gamma 1 \leq \gamma * 1$ ,  $\gamma 2 \leq \gamma * 2$ , (3.84) we have not only (3.82) but also  $c(|\nabla\theta|4/3 L2 + |\nabla v|L2 + |\nabla v|4 L2 + |F2|L2 + |\partial F2 \partial z|L2)(|\partial z|4 L4 +$  $\alpha$ 3| $\theta$ |4 L4( $\Gamma$ u))  $\leq$  1 2 v2\_x0010\_ZM $\theta$ 2 z| $\nabla$  $\theta$ z|2dM +  $\alpha$ 3Z $\Gamma$ u  $\theta$ 2| $\nabla$  $\theta$ |2dM0.  $(3.85)$  Hence, by  $(3.80)$ ,  $(3.78)$ ,  $(3.83)$  and Gronwall inequality, inferring from

 $(3.57),$ 

we obtain

 $|\theta z|$  4  $L$  4 +  $\alpha$ 3| $\theta$ |4  $\Gamma u \le C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2)$ ,  $\forall t \ge 5r$ , (3.86) provided γ1 and γ2 are small. Combining (3.63), (3.65), (3.80), (3.78), (3.76) and (3.83), we have  $|v| \geq H1 + |\partial v \partial z| \geq L4 + |\theta| \geq H1 + |\partial \theta \partial z| \geq L4 \leq C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2), \forall t \geq 6r.$  (3.87) To sum up, by (3.62) and (3.87),

sup  $t \geq 0 |v| 2 H1 + |\theta| 2 H1 + |\partial v(t) \partial z| 2 L4 + |\partial \theta(t) \partial z| 2 L4 \leq C(\gamma 1, \gamma 2)$ .

The proof of the lemma is complete.

4. Main Theorem and its proof

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

In this section, we state our main theorems and give the complete proofs. The first main result regarding the asymptotic stability issue is as follows. Theorem 4.1. Suppose F =  $(F_1,F_2),\partial F/\partial z \in L\infty(0,\infty; (L_2(M))_3)$ . There exists a positive number  $\tilde{ }$  γ2 such that if  $|F|$ 2 L∞(0,∞;(L2(M))3) +  $\partial F$   $\partial z$  |2 L∞(0,∞;(L2(M))3) ≤ ~ γ2, (4.1) then for any two strong solutions (v1(t),θ1(t)) and (v2(t), $\theta$ 2(t)) of the system, we have lim t→∞\_x0010\_|v1(t)-v2(t)|2 L2  $+|\theta_1(t)-\theta_2(t)|$ 2 L<sub>2</sub>= 0.

 $|\theta 3 z|L4 = |\theta 2 z|3/2 L6 \leq (|\theta 2 z|L2 + |\nabla 3\theta 2 z|L2) \leq$  $|\theta z|$ 3 L4 +  $x0010_ZM\theta z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta z z\theta z zz dM3|\theta z|L2 = |\theta z z|3$  $2 L3 <$ c  $x0010$   $|\theta z|3 L4 + ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z|\partial \theta z \partial z|2dM L2(\Gamma u) =$  $|\theta 2|3/2 L3(Tu) \leq c_x 0010 |\theta 2|2/3 L3(Tu)|\theta 2|1/3 H1(Tu)3/2 \leq$  $\chi$ 0010  $|\theta|$ 4/3 L4( $\Gamma$ u)( $|\theta|$ 2/3 L4( $\Gamma$ u) +  $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/3$  L2( $\Gamma$ u))3/2  $\leq$  L4( $\Gamma$ u) +  $|\theta|$ 2 L4( $\Gamma$ u) $|\theta|\nabla\theta||1/2$  L2( $\Gamma$ u).

 $|ZM(vz \cdot \nabla)\theta\theta3 z dM| \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|L2|\theta3 z|L4 \leq |vz|L4|\nabla\theta|Lz|3 L4 +$  $ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla\theta z|2dM + ZM\theta 2 z\theta 2 zzdM(\nabla\cdot v)\theta 4 zdM| \leq |\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4|\theta 3 z|L4 \leq$  $|\nabla v|L2|\theta z|L4$  x0010  $|\theta z|3L4 + (ZM\theta 2 z|\nabla \theta z|2dM +$ ZM02 z02,  $|ZM \partial F2 \partial Z \partial 3 z dM| \leq |\partial F2 \partial Z| L2|\theta 3 z| L2 \leq$ c  $\partial F2 \partial z$  | L2 x0010 |  $\theta$ z| 3 + ZM $\theta$ 2 z|  $\nabla \theta$ z| 2dM + ZM02 z02 zzdM3/4,  $|Z\Gamma u F2\theta 3dM0| \le$  $c$ |F2|L2( $\Gamma u$ ) $\theta$ |3 L4( $\Gamma u$ )| $\theta$ |2 L4( $\Gamma u$ )| $\theta$ | $\nabla \theta$ ||1/2 L2(M),  $\leq$  $c | F2| L2(Tu) | \theta | 4 L4(Tu) + v2 | \theta | \nabla | \theta | | 2 L2(Tu) + c | F2 | 2 L2(Tu) + c |$  $c$ | $F2$ | $L2(Tu)$ .

# **Results and Discussion**

A fundamental work for investigating asymptotic soundness of Primitive conditions with time-subordinate driving, we follow the thoughts of and demonstrate the worldwide in time presence of answers for Primitive conditions in our setting. We next exploit the uniform Gronwall lemma to get the appraisals to build up a coupled framework of conventional differential imbalances concerning the energy appraisals of fluid speed and the temperature work. Under a reasonable diminutiveness condition on the constraining term, the asymptotic solidness of arrangements with little beginning information is then gotten from this arrangement of differential imbalances.

Under a comparative setting, in Tachim treated the presence of time-intermittent arrangements of the Primitive conditions by Galerkin's technique under a generally more grounded supposition that the warmth source is differentiable in the transient variable fulfilling some diminutiveness conditions. Notwithstanding, the creator didn't address the security issue in. In this article, we loosen up the routineness prerequisite of driving terms and

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

we give an asymptotic strength investigation. The thought we utilize to demonstrate the presence and uniqueness of time occasional solid arrangements depends on a Serrin's strategy, which we think all the more intelligently direct and numerically wonderful. It is worth-referenced that our investigation can be applied to two-dimensional Navier-Stokes conditions combined with heat diffusion conditions on limited areas. It should be simple for intrigued perusers to supply the essential subtleties.

The Primitive conditions and their varieties are that we restate some Sobolev type imbalances, uniform Gronwall disparity and some significant numerical outcomes on Primitive conditions. The worldwide in time presence of the arrangement and a vital boundedness lemma are expressed and demonstrated. At long last, we state and demonstrate our primary hypotheses. Investigation for different spaces, such as the round shell area or unbounded area, should be tended to elsewhere.

#### **References**

- P. Constantin and C. Foias, Navier-stokes equations, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1988.
- H. Beirao da Veiga, Time-periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded cylindrical domains - Lerays problem for periodic flows, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 178 (2005), 301–325.
- Masmoudi F. Guillen-Gonzalez and M. A. Rodriguez-Bellido, Anisotropic estimates and strong solutions of the primitive equations, Differential Integral Equations 14 (2001), 1381–1408.
- C. Foias and G. Prodi, Sur le comportement global des solutions non-stationnaires des'equations de navier-stokes en dimension 2, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 39 (1967), 1–34
- A. M. Wazwaz, Partial Differential Equations and Solitary Waves Theory. Nonlinear Physical Science, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2009).
- H. Resat, L. Petzold and M.F Pettigrew, Kinetic modeling of biological system. Methods Mol. Biol. 541(14) (2009) 311-335.
- I. F. Alzaidy, The fractional sub-equation method and exact analytical solutions for some nonlinear fractional PDEs. British J. Math. Comput. Sci. 3 (2013) 153-163.
- M. Kaplan, A. Bekir, A. Akbulut and E. Aksoy, The modified simple equation method for nonlinear fractional differential equations. Rom. J. Phys. 60(9-10) (2015) 13741383.
- G. Adomian, A Review of the Decomposition Method and Some Recent Results for Nonlinear Equations. Computers & Mathematics with Applications 21 (1991) 101-12
- S. Abbasbandy, Solitary Wave Solutions to the Modified Form of Camassa-Holm Equation by Means of the Homotopy Analysis Method. Chaos. Solitons and Fractals 39 (2009) 428-435

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

- T. J.H.He, Variational Iteration Method a Kind of Non-Linear Analytical Technique: Some Examples. International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 34 (1999) 699-708
- A. M. Wazwaz, The variational iteration method: a powerful scheme for handling linear and nonlinear diffusion equations. Comput. Math. Appl. 54 (2007) 933-939.
- N. Faraz, Y. Khan and A. Yildirim, Analytical approach to two-dimensional viscous flow with a shrinking sheet via variational iteration algorithm-II. J. King Saud Univ.Sci. 23(1) (2011) 77-81.
- J. H. He, Application of Homotopy Perturbation Method to Nonlinear Wave Equations. Chaos. Solitons Fractals 26 (2005) 695-700.
- M. Rashidi, N. Freidoonimehr, A. Hosseini, O. Anwar Bg, and T.K. Hung, Homotopy Simulation of Nanofluid Dynamics from a Non-Linearly Stretching Isothermal Permeable Sheet with Transpiration. Meccanica 49 (2014) 469-482.
- S. Gupta, J. Singh and D. Kumar, Application of homotopy perturbation transform method for solving time-dependent functional differential equations. Int. J. Nonlin. Sci. 16(1) (2013) 37-49.
- H. E. Fadhil, S.A. Manaa, A.M. Bewar, and A.Y. Majeed, Variational Homotopy Perturbation Method for Solving Benjamin-Bona-Mahony Equation. Applied Mathematics 6 (2015) 675-683.
- E. Olusola,New Improved Variational Homotopy Perturbation Method for Bratu-Type Problems. American Journal of Computational Mathematics 3 (2013), 110-113.
- M. Wazwaz, The modified decomposition method and Pade approximants for a boundary layer equation in unbounded domain. Appl. Math. Comput. 177 (2006) 737744.
- A. M. Wazwaz. Solitary wave solutions for modified forms of Degasperis-Procesi and Camassa-Holm equations. Phys. Lett. A 352 (2006)500-504.
- Z. M. Wazwaz. New solitary wave solutions to the modified forms of DegasperisProcesi and Camassa-Holm equations. Appl. Math. Comput 186 (2007) 130-141.
- AA. R. Liu, Z. Y. Ouyang. A note on solitary waves for modified forms of CamassaHolm and Degasperis-Procesi equations. Phys. Lett. A 366 (2007) 377-381.
- Q. D. Wang, M. Y. Tang. New exact solutions for two nonlinear equations. Phys. Lett. A 372 (2008) 2995-3000.
- N. A. Yousif, B.A. Mahmood, F.H. Easif, A New Analytical Study of Modified Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi Equations. American Journal of Computational Mathematics 5 (2015) 267-273.
- C. Foias, D. D. Holm, E. S. Titi. The three dimensional viscous camassa-Holm equation and their relation to the Navier-Stokes equation and turbulence theory. J. Dynam. Differential Equations 14 (2002) 1-35.

www.journalforeducationalresearch.online



ISSN Online: 3007-3154 ISSN Print: 3007-3146

**DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW** 

Vol. 2 No. 4 (November) (2024)

- Gao, C. Shen. Optimal solution for the viscous modified Camassa-Holm equation. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 17 (2010) 571-589.
- A. Gao, C. Shen, and J. Yin, Periodic solution of the viscous modified Camassa-Holm equation. I. J. Nonlinear Sci. 18(1) (2014) 78-85.
- B. X. Wang. Existence of time periodic solutions for the Ginzburg-Landau equations of superconductivity. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 232 (1999) 394-412.
- H. Kato. Existence of periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 208 (1997) 141-157.
- Y. Fu and B. Guo. Time periodic solution of the viscous Camassa-Holm equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl 313 (2006) 11-321.