



## Certain Geometric Properties of Four Parametric Wright Functions

Mushtaq Ahmed

Faculty of Sciences, The Superior University Lahore, Pakistan

Email: mushtaqahmed3485@gmail.com

Muhey U Din

Government Islamia Graduate College, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Email: muheyudin@yahoo.com

Saima Mushtaq

Faculty of Sciences, The Superior University Lahore, Pakistan

Email: saimstar20@yahoo.com

Haidar Ali

Department of Mathematics, University Community College, Government College

University, Faisalabad, Pakistan. Email: haidar3830@gmail.com

Aqsa Afzal

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad,

Pakistan. email: iamaqsaafzal@gmail.com

### Abstract

In this article, we introduce and examine new integral operators involving four parametric Wright functions. These operators extend and generalize existing integral operators found in the literature. We explore several geometric properties of these new operators, including univalence and convexity. Our discussion focuses on how these properties manifest in the context of four parametric Wright functions.

**Keywords:** Convex Function, Star like Function, Univalence, Close to Convex Function.

### Introduction

The four parametric Wright function

$$W_{(\alpha, \beta, c, d)}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{\Gamma(c+m\alpha)\Gamma(d+m\beta)}, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.1)$$



## Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

was introduced by E. M. Wright for  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ . The series defined in equation (1.1) converges absolutely and it is an entire function [1-3]. The wright function can be seen as a special case of four parametric wright function. The Wright function

$$W_{\zeta, \eta}(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^l}{l! \Gamma(\zeta l + \eta)}, \zeta > -1, \eta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

was introduced by E. M. Wright [4] in 1933 and has since been applied in various fields, including the partitions of asymptotic theory, also the theory of Hankel type integral transformation and operational calculus of Mikusinski. Wright functions played a role in solving pde's of fractional order with corresponding Green functions being expressed in terms of Wright functions [5, 6]. Mainardi [7] used Wright functions to solve the fractional diffusion wave equation. Luchko et al. [1, 8] derived scale invariant results of pde's (partial differential equations) of fractional order in form of Wright functions. In 2022 M. U. Din [9] determined the partial sums of four- parametric Wright function.

Let  $\Lambda$  be the class having the functions  $t$  in the form of

$$t(r) = r + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} c_l r^l, \quad (1.3)$$

analytic contained in open unit disc  $U = \{r \mid |r| < 1\}$ .

Wright function, especially in its four parametric form, is defined as a series that generalizes several well-known special functions, including the generalized hypergeometric function and the Mittag-Leffler function. Recently, numerous researchers have investigated various geometric belongings, such as univalence, convexity, star likeness as well as close-to-convexity of special functions. Studies have been conducted on geometric properties of hypergeometric functions [10, 11], Bessel functions [12], Struve functions [13], Lommel functions [14]. This body of work led Sourav Das and Khaled Mehrez [15] to explore the geometric properties of four parametric Wright function. we will derive some sufficient conditions by utilizing inequalities related to four parametric Wright functions.

We consider the following normalization of  $W_{(\mu, c)}^{(v, d)}(z)$

$$W_{(\mu, c)}^{(v, d)}(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{(\Gamma c + l \mu)(\Gamma e + kv)} z^{h+1},$$



$$= z + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e + (h-1)v)} z^h.$$

We requisite following lemmas to verify our key results:

### Lemma 1[16]:

If function  $g(z) = z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_n z^n + \dots$  is analytic in  $U$  also

$$1 \geq 2c_2 \geq \dots \geq nc_n \dots \geq 0,$$

Or

$$1 \leq 2c_2 \leq \dots \leq nc_n \dots \leq 2$$

is close-to-convex regarding to convex function  $z \rightarrow -\log(1-z)$

### Lemma 2 [14]:

If function  $g(z) = z + d_3 z^3 + \dots + d_{2n-1} z^{2n-1} + \dots$  analytic in  $U$  also if

$$1 \geq 3d_3 \geq \dots \geq (2n+1)d_{2n+1} \dots \geq 0,$$

Or

$$1 \leq 3d_3 \leq \dots \leq (2n+1)d_{2n+1} \dots \leq 2.$$

Then  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $U$ .

### Main Results

**Theorem 2.1:** If  $c, e, \mu, v \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with inequality

$$h\Gamma(c + h\mu)\Gamma(e + hv) \geq (h+1)\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e + (h-1)v),$$

Then



$$\hat{z} \rightarrow W_{(\mu,c)}^{(v,e)}(\hat{z}),$$

is close to convex regarding to convex function  $-\log(1-z)$ .

**Proof:**

Consider

$$W_{(\mu,c)}^{(v,e)}(\hat{z}) = \hat{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c+(h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e+(h-1)v)} \hat{z}^h.$$

Here,

$$\hat{a}_{h-1} = \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c+(h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e+(h-1)v)},$$

We have  $\hat{a}_{h-1} > 0$  for all  $h \geq 2$ .

For  $l = 2$ ,

$$\hat{a}_1 = \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c+\mu)\Gamma(e+v)}$$

$$< 1.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \hat{a}_h &= h \hat{a}_{h-1} - (h+1) \hat{a}_h \\ &= h \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c+h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e+h-1)v)} - (h+1) \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c+h\mu)\Gamma(e+hv)} \\ &= \Gamma c \Gamma e \left\{ \frac{h}{\Gamma(c+(h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e+(h-1)v)} - \frac{(h+1)}{\Gamma(c+h\mu)\Gamma(e+hv)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$



$$= \Gamma c \Gamma e \left\{ \frac{h\Gamma(c+h\mu)\Gamma(e+hv) - (h+1)\Gamma(c+(h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e+(h-1)v)}{\Gamma(c+(h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e+(h-1)v)\Gamma(c+h\mu)\Gamma(e+hv)} \right\}.$$

Here

$$\Gamma c > 0, \Gamma e > 0$$

$$\Gamma(c+(h-1)\mu) > 0,$$

$$\Gamma(e+(h-1)v) > 0,$$

$$\Gamma(c+h\mu) > 0$$

and

$$\Gamma(c+hv) > 0,$$

Under the conditions on parameters, for  $h \geq 2$ . In view of Lemma 2.1, we have to show that  $\Omega \hat{a}_h \geq 0$ . Here we observe that  $\Omega \hat{a}_h$  is positive if,

$$h\Gamma(c+h\mu)\Gamma(e+hv) \geq (h+1)\Gamma(c+(h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e+(h-1)v),$$

for all  $h \geq 2$ .

Thus  $W_{(\mu,c)}^{(v,e)}(\hat{z})$  is close to convex regarding to convex function  $(-\log(1-\hat{z}))$ .

**Theorem 2.2:** If  $c, e, \mu, v \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with inequality

$$(2h-1)\Gamma(c+h\mu)\Gamma(e+hv) \geq (2h+1)\Gamma(c+(h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e+(h-1)v),$$

then

$$\hat{z} \rightarrow W_{(\mu,c)}^{(v,e)}(\hat{z}^2),$$

is close to convex regarding to convex function  $\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\hat{z}}{1-\hat{z}}\right)$ .



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

**Proof:** Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(\hat{z}) &= \hat{z} W_{(\mu, c)}^{(v, e)}(\hat{z}^2) \\ &= \hat{z} + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} A_{2h-1} \hat{z}^{2h-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$A_{2h-1} = \hat{a}_{h-1} = \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu) \Gamma(e + (h-1)v)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_1 &= \frac{\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c + \mu) \Gamma(e + v)} \\ &< 1, \end{aligned}$$

and  $A_{2h-1} > 0$  for all  $h \geq 2$ .

Firstly we will show that  $\{(2h-1)e_{h-1}\}_{m \geq 2}$  is a sequence of decreasing functions.

For this consider

$$\begin{aligned} &(2h-1)\hat{a}_{h-1} - (2h+1)a_h \geq 0 \\ &= \frac{(2h-1)\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu) \Gamma(e + (h-1)v)} - \frac{(2h+1)\Gamma c \Gamma e}{\Gamma(c + h\mu) \Gamma(e + hv)} \\ &= \Gamma c \Gamma e \left\{ \frac{(2h-1)}{\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu) \Gamma(e + (h-1)v)} - \frac{(2h+1)}{\Gamma(c + h\mu) \Gamma(e + hv)} \right\} \\ &= \Gamma c \Gamma e \left\{ \frac{(2h-1)\Gamma(c + h\mu) \Gamma(e + hv) - (2h+1)\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu) \Gamma(e + (h-1)v)}{\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu) \Gamma(e + (h-1)v) \Gamma(c + h\mu) \Gamma(e + hv)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

$$\Gamma c > 0, \Gamma e > 0$$

$$\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu) > 0,$$

$$\Gamma(e + (h-1)v) > 0,$$

$$\Gamma(c + h\mu) > 0$$

And

$$\Gamma(e + hv) > 0,$$

Under the conditions on parameters, for  $h \geq 2$ . For

$$(2h-1)\Gamma(c + h\mu)\Gamma(e + hv) \geq (2h+1)\Gamma(c + (h-1)\mu)\Gamma(e + (h-1)v),$$

we observe that

$$(2h-1)\hat{a}_{h-1} - (2h+1)\hat{a}_h \geq 0 \text{ for all } h \geq 2.$$

Hence, the sequence  $\{(2h-1)b_{h-1}\}_{m \geq 2}$  is decreasing sequence. By using Lemma 2.2, we

have  $\hat{z}W_{(\mu,c)}^{(v,e)}(\hat{z}^2)$  is close to convex regarding to function  $\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\hat{z}}{1-\hat{z}}\right)$ .

## References

1. Luchko, Y. and R. Gorenflo, *Scale-invariant solutions of a partial differential equation of fractional order*. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal, 1998. **1**(1): p. 63-78.
2. Mehrez, K., *New integral representations for the Fox–Wright functions and its applications*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2018. **468**(2): p. 650-673.
3. Wright, E.M., *The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function*. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 1935. **1**(4): p. 286-293.
4. Wright, E.M., *On the coefficients of power series having exponential singularities*. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 1933. **1**(1): p. 71-79.
5. Samko, S., *AA Kilbas and O.I. Marichev*. Fractional interarals and derivatives: theov and agglications, 1993.



## Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

6. Podlubny, I., *Fractional differential equations, mathematics in science and engineering*. 1999, Academic press New York.
7. Mainardi, F., *Fractional calculus: some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics*. 1997: Springer.
8. Buckwar, E. and Y. Luchko, *Invariance of a partial differential equation of fractional order under the Lie group of scaling transformations*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1998. **227**(1): p. 81-97.
9. Din, M.U., *On partial sums of four parametric Wright function*. Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society, 2022. **37**(3): p. 681-692.
10. Miller, S.S. and P.T. Mocanu, *Univalence of Gaussian and confluent hypergeometric functions*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 1990: p. 333-342.
11. Ruscheweyh, S. and V. Singh, *On the order of starlikeness of hypergeometric functions*. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 1986. **113**(1): p. 1-11.
12. Szász, R., *About the starlikeness of Bessel functions*. Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 2014. **25**(9): p. 750-755.
13. Haq, S., A.H. Khan, and K.S. Nisar, *A study of new class of integrals associated with generalized Struve function and polynomials*. Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society, 2019. **34**(1): p. 169-183.
14. Mushtaq, S., M. Raza, and M.U. Din, *Certain geometric properties of Lommel and hyper-Bessel functions*. Mathematics, 2019. **7**(3): p. 240.
15. Mehrez, K., *Some geometric properties of a class of functions related to the Fox–Wright functions*. Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 2020. **14**(3): p. 1222-1240.
16. Din, M. and S. Yalçın Tokgöz, *Certain geometric properties of modified Lommel Functions*. Honam Mathematical Journal, 2020. **42**(4).