



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

Designing A New World Economic Order: A Critical Examination of the Intersection of Tariff Wars, Global Governance, and Artificial Intelligence

Syed Rizwan Haider Bukhari (Corresponding Author)
PhD Scholar, Department of Political Science, Islamia College University,
Peshawar. Email: bukharipalmist@gmail.com

Haider Ali Khan
Mphil Scholar, Department of Political Science & International Relation
Qurtuba University of Science & Information Technology, Peshawar
Email: haiderkhan6669@gmail.com

Rehmat Ullah
Phd IR Scholar, Qurtuba University, (Hayat Abad Campus) Peshawar
Email: rehmatkhan39@yahoo.com

Abstract

Global economy is in deep transformation process, as a result of accelerating tariff wars, irreversibly changing international architectures, and race in developments of Artificial Intelligence (AI). This paper critically looks into the interplay of these factors and their implications for future world economy order. Extending beyond the analysis of either international relations, economics, or computer science, the study utilizes an interdisciplinary framework to incorporate economics, international relations, and computer science within the realm of global governance as well as their interaction with that context within their fields, as influenced by tariff conflicts and international trade, both among nations, as well as global governance institution's role in resolving economic disputes, coupled with the work AI can contribute to optimizing trade policies and improving economic resilience. The findings signal that if technology and policy are not combined in a seamless way, it will perpetuate an unstable, more adaptive and more equitable system in the global financial world.

Keywords: Tariff Wars, Global Governance, Artificial Intelligence, International Trade, Trade Policies, Economic Resilience, WTO, Predictive Analytics, Trade Conflicts, AI-driven Economic forecasting, Deep Seek AI.

Introduction

The global trade was always a complex and a dynamic system due to geopolitical tensions, economic policies and technological advances. Recently, three key factors have substantial effects on the global economic land-scape around the world: escalating tariff war, difficulties faced by the international institution like World Trade Organization (WTO), and the machine economy's rapid progress with AI. This paper brings forward the synthesis of these elements and sets it on an evaluation of their combined effect on the emergence of a new world economic order. There is a reversal of protectionist policies, in particular of the imposition of tariffs, that disrupt global trade flows and raise economic uncertainties. In particular, reciprocal tariffs caused by the trade tensions



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

between the United States and China have struck across many industries and markets. Not only have these measures put a strain on bilateral relations but have also had leaks throughout the globe economy, replacing investment selections and supply chain configuration (Financial time, 2025). Such trade policies have been very unpredictable and has been a major challenge to businesses all over the world. For instance, companies are faced with higher costs and a review of the sourcing and manufacturing strategies. For example, according to Vogue Business (2025), the fashion industry has booted AI to assist in resolving ambiguities caused by tariffs through use of predictive analytics in optimizing inventory and supply chain decisions. The WTO was then created to administer and regulate international trade, and now has to face enormous challenges when maintaining a balanced global economic structure. With the rise, the organization has been unable to enforce trade rules effectively. Key positions on reformed dispute resolution mechanism have been unfilled and member states have divergent views on what reform is required (Associated Press, 2025).

It has become more complicated for the organization to play such a role because of the struggles that the organization has had to face through adapting to modern trade challenges such as digital trade as well as environmental considerations. Associated with urging for reform, to deal with challenges of this kind and to make certain that benefits from worldwide trade go to individuals extra equally (the end of 2025), WTO Director General employs Okonjo-Iweala acknowledges that (2025). However, AI is currently a force for change among international trade. More accurate predictions of economic trends and consumer behavior is possible due to its ability to analyse huge datasets and therefore better ability to make more informed decisions. Tariff uncertainty is being mitigated to some extent by AI driven tools that are improving the efficiency of supply chain, optimizing the logistics, and cutting down the operational costs. Furthermore, AI is now in the centre stage in developing trade policy. AI is being applied by governments and international bodies to shift the focus from having to choose which strategy will be used in a range of potential impact scenarios to creating more resilient economic strategies that tackle the likelihood of such scenarios. By allowing a more adaptive and reactive global trade environment in response to the protectionist policies and institutional challenges (WTO, 2024), this technological integration is bringing on board a more responsive global trade environment. It is the context of rising tariff wars, alteration of role of institutions like the WTO and rapid AI advancement that is shaping the global economic order. The protectionist measures are embarking on the deployment of new markets and partnerships that replace existing trade relationships. At the same time, the challenges of the WTO highlight the necessity of updated frameworks able to satisfy the exigencies of the present trade issues, among which those related to the technological advancements. This provides an avenue for AI to become integrated into trade practices and to help achieve a better level of economic resilience. AI gives businesses and policymakers the ability to adapt more effectively to the realities of ever changing trade realities, through giving them better tools for analysis and making better decisions. In an uncertainty, change environment, this adaptability is critical. We are now entering a new world economic order with its rising trends: in the form of accelerated tariff wars, challenges to international trade institutions, AI. In order to find its way



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

clear through this complex terrain, technological innovation needs to be acknowledged, institutional reform broadened and collaborative policy making welcomes. Only such a strategy is possible that would help to advance a more stable, more just, more resilient globally economic system against the future threats.

Literature Review

He has extensively researched the complexities of global trade, especially around the world of trade wars, the effectiveness of the institutions of the global governance, such as the World Trade Organisation (WTO), and the powerful potential of artificial intelligence (AI) in global trade. Although much work has already been done on these dimensions separately, a tremendous deficit continues to exist in understanding their interplay and their joint ability to influence the policies of the future trade. In this literature review, scholarship of tariff wars, governance issues and AI enabled trade models are all mixed together to give the grounds that all these are in the dynamic global economic system.

Impact of Tariff Wars on Global Trade

The most profound and far reaching trade conflict, particularly those developed by protectionist policies, have dominated the world of trade. Then there is the U.S.-China trade war where tariffs put in place by one country to protect the domestic industry increase costs on consumers and businesses. Such tariffs are causing inflationary pressures, damaged consumer welfare and suppressed economic growth, according to the studies. The case of 2002 US steel tariffs, aimed at promoting domestic production ended up raising in the input costs to the downstream industries and result in losses job and loss of competitiveness (Irwin, 2005). Later studies indicate that tariff wars are an important cause of supply chain disruption and firms undertake search for alternative sites of production in countries with less trade barriers. As a result, companies have oftentimes been moving manufacturing hubs to shield themselves from financial losses incurred from tariff (Bown, 2019). Secondly, it is assumed that tariff wars are short term strategic action but in reality the long term effects of the tariff wars persist still affecting global trade routes, foreign direct investment decisions and multilateral trade agreements (Fajgelbaum et al., 2020). Retaliation through tariffs only aggravates trade frictions that compound the bad impact on the global supply chains. In addition, protectionist policies cause the financial markets to be more volatile which further adds to the uncertainty of multinational corporations and investors. As a result, tariffs have an impact on the long term, not just short term economic fluctuations that can skew global trade stability, change in investment flows etc.

Challenges in Global Trade Governance

The WTO, however, the principal body charged with overseeing the governance of international trade is becoming more and more of a target for the public eye, because it cannot solve disputes of international trade, and most importantly cannot enforce its rules among the member states. Dispute resolution system is weakened by political and procedural divides of confidence, and the dysfunction of the Appellate Body has resulted in a pile of unresolved cases (Hoekman & Mavroidis, 2021). Hence, the crisis has blurred the reputation of the WTO as a



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

neutral adjudicator in eras of growing geopolitical tensions and economic nationalism. In addition, the WTO's exposure to current economic combat, especially digital trade rules, environmental green initiatives, and AI established commerce, has additionally exacerbated its power. WTO (2023) calls for the reform of the WTO based on the paradigm of global trade, which is one of the reasons for modernizing the WTO's regulatory framework. The WTO's director general Ngozi Okonjo-Iwela and other leadership of the institution has emphasised the need to bring about reforms that preserve the roof over the participation, representation and rules that govern trade activity in the increasingly digitalized and technologically integrated global trade space (WTO, 2023). Meanwhile, economies such as those of the United States and China are being frustrated in their attempts to utilize multilateral trade mechanisms, with the latter in particular complaining that it needs to engage with not only others in powerful regional arrangements but also America, apparently without regard for multilateralism. If unchecked, this trend implies that WTO will lose its authority in the construction and maintenance of the global trade norms, with the likelihood of creation of a fragmented international trade system (Baldwin, 2016). That is why trade governance institutions need never been more resilient and adaptable.

The Role of AI in Transforming International Trade

AI is responding to international trade in the modern world in a more efficient manner, optimized logistics, and to inform the development of trade policy. Predictive analytics delivered by AI can be used by businesses to predict the market shifts, minimize supply chain cost, and lower expenses. For firms, AI-driven automation has also enabled mitigation of risks in tariffs by optimizing sourcing and production strategies (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017). Through integration of the AI and blockchain technology as a subject, we see the boost in transparency and security in the cross border transactions. Dependency on intermediaries is reduced with these technologies, transaction costs are reduced and regulatory compliance improved (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). Furthermore, to analyze the impact of different tariff scenario, machine learning model is used in AI's trade policymaking role, which also reflects the increment of AI's participate in trade regulation. WTO (2023): the AI driven trade models help policymakers make data driven decisions of trade policies with real time ability for the adjustments. However, AI driven trade policies simultaneously present with regards to unevenness in economics. In increasing sectors of automation, AI will make it difficult for advanced economies with superior AI capabilities to not gain a disproportionate advantage over developing nations, thus expanding existing trade imbalances. To create an inclusive global trading system, it is imperative that the global trading system is also inclusive of accessing AI driven trade innovations. Responsible framework has to be developed by policymakers attempting to combine the AI responsibly and resolve the issue around economic sovereignty and digital protectionism (UNCTAD, 2021).



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

Interconnection Between Tariff Wars, Governance, and AI in Future Trade Policies

Slashing tariff wars, trade governance and AI powered trade models have all received their fair share of studies as individual themes; however, the double whammy provided by their combination, in relation to the development of global trade policies, is still under the carpet. Frequently, when imposing tariffs, businesses will shift trade routes and must do so with the use of AI to optimize supply chain. Despite this, there may exist weak global governance structures that impede the adoption of AI driven trade policies without any ambiguity in governance. As one example, multinational corporations employ AI to venture into the maze of any regulatory tapestry they may be facing, using machine learning to come up with cost efficient supplier network and adapt to changes in the trade regulations. Nevertheless, there are no major WTO initiatives on regulating AI in trade governance, and this has contributed to contradictions in the deployment of AI between various jurisdictions. If not confronted with proactive global action by trade institutions, the advantages steered by AI could leave technologically advanced economies with such a surplus that such built conditions would continue to widen the gap between developed and developing countries (UNCTAD, 2021). Due to the fact that trade regulations have to be harmonized, AI has to be integrated, and dispute resolution mechanisms should be harmonized, a holistic policy approach is needed. By increasing the resilience and equilibrium of international trade system, the AI driven trade efficiencies can help the strengthening of global trade institution like the WTO.

Methodology

Based on this idea, an extensive mixed method research approach is developed that intently blend qualitative and quantitative methods together to provide an overall impression of how tariff wars, global governance, and artificial intelligence (AI) interplay with each other. The qualitative analysis includes a systematic review of international trade policies, economic forecasting by AI, the turning role of the governance institutions: WTO, IMF, UNCTAD. The content analysis of policy documents and regulatory frameworks in this study critically assesses how the global institutions work along the process through which the dynamics of trade is defined and how they address the economics disruptions. Additionally, the quantitative part relies upon econometric modeling on secondary data on world trade flows, tariff rates and AI based economic forecasting. The study analyzes these datasets and using it will assess on the larger implications of tariff wars on economic stability, trade balances and market shock absorbers. Additionally, AI based predictive analytics are used to predict the possible trade conflicts, assess their long term consequences, and predictors of strategic responses. To bridge the gap between the economic theory, political analysis and technological advancement, and to come up with the new ways of governing future global trade, this interdisciplinary research aims at. The findings provide a better support to evidence based policy that the period of rapid digital transformation and geopolitical uncertainty calls for the system of international economics to be more adaptive, resilient and equitable. (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017)

Discussion



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

Today, the tariff was, the global governance challenges and the rapid progress of artificial intelligence (AI), plays a part in the formation of contemporary global economic landscape. These are complex interactions among the types of elements and there are large impacts on international trade dynamics, regulatory framework and technological developments. It is essential to understand their intersection when designing a new world economic order that is resilient, so equitable and looking forward.

Tariff Wars: Disruptions and Long-Term Implications

Today, tariff wars, defined as the comeback of protectionist trade policies, have reemerged between such huge countries as the United States and China. The impact of these conflicts go beyond efficient disruptions in economy, the conflicts lasts deeper which will change global trade patterns and supply chain forever. The U.S. China trade war has prompted manufacturers to reconsider their manufacturing strategies, some of whom have moved their manufacturing overseas to lessen the impact of tariffs. It has major implication for the fashion, automotive and technology industries (Bown, 2023). The policies that generate such wars continue to live on long after the wars. Examples from historical times such as U.S. steel tariffs in 2002 provide that while the tariffs may be temporary, their impact on the market and the industries can be persistent for a long time. The costs associated with these measures to downstream industries would be increased, competitiveness will be reduced, and jobs lost, so careful consideration should be given in the formulation of trade policy (Fajgelbaum et al., 2020).

Global Governance: Navigating Fragmentation and Cooperation

The governing of the global affairs remains dependent on globalization through structures like the World Trade Organization (WTO) and other institutes dealing with the resolutions of global trading. However, lack of adaptation to protectionist tendencies and unilateral action prevailing in the current geopolitical landscape of the modern world characterizes these institutions. For example, the attempt of the WTO to handle modern day trade issues and to enforce compliance with member states has been questioned (Hoekman & Mavroidis, 2021). This will only compound the problem of global governance, where AI comes into play. For countries, there is a new trend of developing and controlling AI and becoming 'AI nationalistic', in recognition of its strategic importance. This shift can result in different technological ecosystems and regulatory theories, which makes it difficult to set up universal standards and ethical guidelines on how AI deployment may be done (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017).

Artificial Intelligence: Transforming Economies and Power Dynamics

AI is transforming economies by enhancing productivity, enabling new business models, and reshaping labor markets. Countries that lead in AI innovation are poised to gain significant economic and strategic advantages, influencing global power dynamics. The competition between the U.S. and China in AI development is often framed as a new "AI Cold War," with each nation striving to achieve technological supremacy (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). This rivalry has implications for global trade and governance. For example, the U.S. has



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

implemented export controls to restrict China's access to advanced AI technologies, aiming to maintain its competitive edge. Such measures can lead to the bifurcation of technological ecosystems, complicating international collaboration and standardization efforts (Baldwin, 2016).

Intersections and Implications for a New Economic Order

At the economic level, AI is improving productivity and augments new business models as well as changing labor markets. In the future, countries that will be leading in AI innovation will substantially benefit from all this in the economic and strategic field and will shape global power balances. It is said that the U.S. and China run a new AI Cold War, competing by development on AI, striving to achieve the technological supremacy (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). The implications of such a rivalry are to global trade and governance. The U.S. has done this in a case where it restricts export controls that China cannot get access to some advanced AI technologies to stay competitive. If they are implemented such measures may actually bifurcate technological ecosystems and complicate the international collaboration and standardization (Baldwin, 2016).

Intersections and Implications for a New Economic Order

It becomes time to review the current order of the economy due to the interplay between tariff wars, global governance, and AI. Aids and prevents diffusion of AI technologies around the world posing a lower benefit from innovation and further increase inequality between nations. At the same time, weak global governance may produce inconsistent regulations that further prevent trade in and among nations as well as cooperation among nations in AI development. A number of considerations are paramount when overseeing the design of a new economic order able to harness the potential of AI and to mitigate the risks of protectionism and fragmentation.

Global Institutions need to be strengthened: Reform of such institutions as the WTO in order to respond competently to current problems, in particular with reference to digital trade and the regulation of AI. Are more capable to mediate disputes and enforce agreements, thus helping to stabilize and control the forms of international trade.

Increase Multilateral Cooperation: Proposing that communities of AI development and regulation work together can help dodge the formation of islands that develop and regulate governance in artificial intelligence. Interoperability and trust among nation can be enabled by international agreements to a common set of AI ethics, standards and data governance.

Inclusive Innovation: Policies that ensure equal number of AI technologies to the people can ensure an inclusive innovation. Capacity building activities that support more people in developing countries participate in the AI economy.

Linking National Ability to Global Benefit: While national strategies are essential to the advancement of domestic AI capacities, associating with certain global structures can figure races for the better of humankind. The balance of this, however, must be made by dialogue and compromise among nations.

Tariff wars, global governance, and artificial intelligence to an extent of an intersection of these three components will both present challenges, and opportunities, as a means to disrupting the new world economic order. Adaptive policies, robust institutions, and commitment to international cooperation are



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

necessary to navigate this complex landscape. By encircling the complexity of these interdependent areas, the global community can create an economy that optimizes technology improvements to holistic growth.

Findings

The findings illustrates that there are still tariff wars, which continue to disrupt global trade, deepen economic volatility, distort supply chains and bring about the slowdown of global growth. Retaliatory tariffs that were triggered by protectionist measures have worsened cost increase to businesses and consumers and drive trade fragmentation. While global governance institutions like the WTO have an established regulatory framework, geopolitics threat, bureaucratic inactivities and slowness of dealing with digital trade over weigh. At the same time, AI is inherently revolutionizing the trade by enabling such an optimization of supply chains, forecasting of market trends, and decision making via predictive analytics. However, regulatory gaps, ethical concerns, as well as technological access disparities restrict easy adoption of these technologies to date. These are some of the reasons why there is an acute need to modernize global trade governance. Lastly, the study puts forth a need for having the policy frameworks have adaptability with AI mixing into trade regulation and being transparent, accountable and equally accessible. It will need to be strengthened international cooperation and reform outdated governance structure in order to define a resilient and technology-driven global economic orders.

Conclusion

If we go by the definition of global trade as tariff wars, artificial intelligence and global governance, then global trade is becoming redefined by the very things that our global trade was supposedly about. Supply chains are broken by trades conflicts, economies are made more volatile, and WTO type institutions that need to be urgently reformed because they reveal the inefficiency of WTO type institutions. Still, across the hyperskills of predictive analytics and supply chain optimization on, the trade world is beginning to catch a glimpse of how AI can be used in the trade world while also bringing its own challenges in terms of regulatory and ethical fronts. To construct a sustainable and inclusive globe economy, policymakers need to use modern trade governance, AI responsibly and grow transparency using emerging technologies. Many of these advancements under the banner of promoting prosperity will need to be accompanied by strengthening of international cooperation and adaptive policy frameworks that will prevent those advancements to reinforce gaps between economies, and not increase existing gaps between economies. Although the world cannot abandon fairness and stability, it can pursue fairness and stability while taking a leap forward and cheerfully embracing innovation.

Policy Recommendations for a Resilient and Equitable Global Economic Order

Strengthening Global Governance. Reform of the World Trade Organization (WTO) and other trade institutions to ensure their capacities to handle contemporary trade disputes and their policy correlates. This involves also integrating AI based driven dispute resolution mechanisms to make case handling faster, less biased, decrease resolution time and so on. In addition, this



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

AI based trade monitoring will improve the ability to track real time the trade flow and consequently detect unfair trade practices and violations.

AI Adoption: As Government and international organizations, they should encourage and promote AI adoption in trade optimization and reviewing economic forecasting. The use of AI can create enhanced predictive modeling for trade policies to allow the policymakers to base their decisions on data that could forecast the market fluctuation, help optimizing logistics and enhance the efficiency of trade. An efficient way to accelerate its responsible incorporation into the global trade is by establishing AI incubators and fund collaborative AI research across nations.

Increase the Trade Transparency: Utilizing blockchain technology and AI to raise global trade transaction's transparency level. Blockchain based trade document can reduce the trap of frauds, enhance traceability and eliminate bureaucratic inefficiencies, whereas AI can detect and prevent illicit trade practices. They also allow for automated customs processing and confirmation of compliance verification and lead to a reduction of corruption and increase of trust in international trade system.

Regulation and Balance: On one hand, to develop rules about the inflow of AI innovation through trade balanced on the scale of ethical norms and inclusivity. Developers need to keep making ofiable AI driven automation while policymakers have to balance to encourage ofiable (as in that it can be replaced) AI driven automation and protect from economic displacements of workers and small business. International standards regarding AI governance should ensure a responsible release of AI into the international trade market, as the use of AI can potentially lead to monopolization, and data protection.

Economic Resilience Support: Developing policies that decrease the negative impact of tariff wars and provide a basis for the long term trade stability. In addition, it includes making safety nets for the industries that are subject to sudden tariff change, encouraging regional trade cooperation to reduce dependence on the single market, and the use of AI based scenario planning for economic resilience. Building global cooperation in trade policies will enable the economy to be agile to survive from economic disruptions.

These policy recommendations outline a strategic path toward the creation of a fair and technology based, globally effective economy. With advancements in AI, reform in trade governance and strengthen transparency, the trade system will be closer to the more equitable and sustainable trade system.

References

- Associated Press. (2025, March 8). World Trade Organization chief says US concerns on tariffs need to be heard. *Associated Press*. Retrieved from <http://apnews.com/article/46e0637b72d83baad67818572f369326>
- Baldwin, R. (2016). *The great convergence: Information technology and the new globalization*. Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674972667>
- Bown, C. P. (2019). The 2018 US-China trade conflict after forty years of special protection. *China Economic Journal*, 12(2), 109-136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538963.2019.1595328>



Vol. 3 No. 3 (March) (2025)

- Bown, C. P. (2023). The 2018 US-China trade conflict after forty years of special protection. *China Economic Journal*, 12(2), 109-136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538963.2019.1595328>
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *The business of artificial intelligence: What it can—and cannot—do for your organization*. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2017/07/the-business-of-artificial-intelligence>
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Fajgelbaum, P. D., Goldberg, P. K., Kennedy, P. J., & Khandelwal, A. K. (2020). The return to protectionism. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 135(1), 1-55. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjz036>
- Financial Times. (2025, March 10). China returns fire in Donald Trump's trade war. *Financial Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.ft.com/content/0d99c888-d7a2-4c0c-9efb-0114a48825b9>
- Hoekman, B. M., & Mavroidis, P. C. (2021). To AB or not to AB? Dispute settlement in WTO reform. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 24(3), 581-607. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/jgab029>
- Irwin, D. A. (2005). The welfare cost of autarky: Evidence from the Jeffersonian trade embargo, 1807-09. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 83(1), 136-144. <https://doi.org/10.1162/0034653053970330>
- Krugman, P. (2023). What's the matter with trade? *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/02/14/opinion/paul-krugman-trade.html>
- Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). *Blockchain revolution: How the technology behind bitcoin is changing money, business, and the world*. Penguin. Retrieved from <https://www.penguinrandomhouse.com/books/316722/blockchain-revolution>
- UNCTAD. (2021). *Digital economy report 2021*. United Nations. Retrieved from <https://unctad.org/webflyer/digital-economy-report-2021>
- Vogue Business. (2025, March 10). How a global trade war could rewire the way fashion operates. *Vogue Business*. Retrieved from <http://www.voguebusiness.com/story/fashion/how-a-global-trade-war-could-rewire-the-way-fashion-operates>
- World Trade Organization. (2024). The impact of AI on international trade: Opportunities and challenges. WTO. Retrieved from <http://www.mdpi.com/2227-7099/12/11/298>